

Performance, carcass and meat quality of forage-fed steers as an alternative to concentrate-based be*M. Blanco, M. Joy, P. Albertí, G. Ripoll, B. Panea and I. Casasús**CITA, Unidad de Tecnología en Producción y Sanidad Animal, Avda. Montañana 930, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain; mblanco@aragon.es*

The performance and carcass quality under different management systems were studied to search alternatives to indoors intensive beef production. During the winter housing period, 8 bulls were *ad libitum* fed concentrate+straw (C) while 16 steers were fed a total mixed ration. From April, steers grazed on mountain meadows+1.8 kg DM corn/d. Half the steers grazed until slaughter at 500 kg (G-supp) while the remaining steers were finished indoors (54 d) on a total mixed ration (TMR). In the housing period, C bulls had greater weight gains than the steers (1.772 vs 1.221 kg/d, $P<0.001$). In the finishing period, TMR steers had greater weight gains than G-supp steers (1.371 vs 0.942 kg/d, $P<0.01$). At slaughter (499 ± 9.2 LW; $P>0.05$), C bulls were younger than G-supp and TMR steers (442, 569, 539 d; $P<0.001$). TMR steers had worse conformed carcasses, greater fat and lower edible meat proportions than G-supp steers and C bulls ($P<0.01$). The management strategy did not affect meat pH but C bulls had lower shear force than G-supp and TMR steers (66, 83 and 79 N/cm², respectively; $P<0.05$). Meat from C bulls had lower yellowness (b*; $P<0.001$) and Chroma (C*; $P<0.01$) than that from both groups of steers. Steaks of TMR steers had greater intramuscular fat content than those of G-supp steers ($P<0.05$) whereas that of C bulls was intermediate. Steaks of G-supp steers had greater PUFA n-3 than those of C bulls and TMR steers ($P<0.001$), and greater PUFA n-6 than TMR steers ($P<0.001$). Thus, G-supp and TMR steers had lower n-6:n-3 ratio than C bulls (5.23, 6.13 and 20.07, respectively; $P<0.001$). Consumers scored higher the taste, tenderness and overall impression of the steaks from C bulls than those from both groups of steers ($P<0.05$). Consequently, forage-feeding can be an alternative to concentrate-fed cattle, but the proportion of corn in diets of forage-fed cattle should be increased to improve meat tenderness and guarantee the consumers acceptability.