

Lactation Biology 1

M75 Sodium salicylate reduced transcript abundance of hypoxia-associated genes in MAC-T cells. C. M. Ylloja, T. H. Swartz, L. K. Mamedova*, and B. J. Bradford, *Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS.*

Hypoxia is an oxygen deficiency commonly found in growing tissues and is speculated to occur in the rapidly developing mammary gland in peripartum dairy cattle. Low oxygen concentrations can activate hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1), which increases transcription of genes involved in angiogenesis (*VEGF*) and glucose transport (*GLUT1*). The mRNA stability of these genes is positively regulated by *AUF1*. In our previous research, postpartum administration of sodium salicylate (SS) increased whole lactation milk yield in multiparous cows but tended to reduce milk yield in primiparous. Because rapid mammary tissue development likely occurs in cows approaching first lactation, we hypothesized that SS inhibited the activation of HIF-1 α and decreased transcription of downstream targets. MAC-T cells were treated with SS (100 μ M) or control media before incubation under either hypoxic (1% O₂) or normoxic conditions for 12 h. Additionally, cells were transfected with either HIF1 α siRNA or a scrambled siRNA negative control 48 h before hypoxia treatments. *HIF1 α* , *GLUT1*, *VEGF*, and *AUF1* were quantified using the 2^{- Δ CT} method and normalized to the internal control gene *NENF*. Transcript abundance was assessed using a linear mixed model with the fixed effects of SS, hypoxia, and siRNA and all 2- and 3-way interaction terms, and the random effect of plate nested within hypoxia. SS tended to decrease *HIF1 α* as compared with untreated cells ($P = 0.09$). For *GLUT1*, SS treatment interacted with hypoxia ($P = 0.05$), as SS reduced *GLUT1* when MAC-T cells were cultured in normoxic conditions ($P < 0.01$), however, no effect of SS was found in hypoxia-treated cells ($P = 0.39$). Regardless of oxygen status, SS reduced *VEGF* ($P = 0.04$) and *AUF1* ($P = 0.04$) relative to untreated cells. Hypoxia increased *GLUT1* ($P = 0.01$), yet no effect was identified on *VEGF* ($P = 0.45$) or *AUF1* ($P = 0.22$). siRNA knocked down *HIF1 α* ($P < 0.01$), but no effect was found on *GLUT1* ($P = 0.98$), *VEGF* ($P = 0.99$), or *AUF1* ($P = 0.62$). In conclusion, SS reduced transcript abundance of genes involved with mammary gland development, but generally did not interact with oxygen status.

Key Words: hypoxia, NSAID, mammary gland development

M76 Circadian *PER2* gene silencing suppresses lipid synthesis partly via inhibition of *PPARG* and *SREBF1* in bovine mammary epithelial cells. Y. J. Jing¹, Y. F. Chen*¹, M. Z. Wang¹, L. Y. Hu¹, Q. Y. Xu¹, Z. N. Xi¹, and J. J. Loo², ¹Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, China, ²University of Illinois, Urbana, IL.

In non-ruminants it is well-established that biological rhythms play a profound role in coordinating whole-body metabolism. In dairy cows there is evidence that milk yield and milk fat content have rhythmic pattern thought to be regulated by circadian rhythms. The core circadian clock gene period 2 (*PER2*) is associated with mammary gland development and lipid synthesis in rodents, partly via regulating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (*PPARG*). Whether such type of molecular link between circadian clock and lipid metabolism exists in bovine is unclear. We hypothesized that *PER2* is associated with lipid metabolism in bovine mammary cells. To test this hypothesis, the bovine mammary tissue samples were obtained from 3 mid-lactation (averaged 110 d postpartum) cows and digested by collagenase to gain the primary bovine mammary epithelial cells (BMECs). Small interfering RNA (siRNA) technology was used to inhibit *PER2* expression in primary BMECs. The primary BMECs were transfected with 3 siRNAs at 0, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60 h to screen out the best siRNA and its transfection time point. The lipid droplet was measured by red oil O staining, and the triacylglycerol (TAG) content of BMEC was determined with the tissue triglyceride assay kit (APPLYGEN, China). The lipid droplet and TAG content were determined at 36 h (36 h showed the greatest *PER2* gene inhibitory effect

of 84.7%) after the siRNA transfection. One-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple comparison were used to conduct statistical analysis by SPSS software version 22.0 (statistical significance set at $P < 0.05$). Silencing of *PER2* led to lower concentration of cellular lipid droplets and TAG levels in BMECs ($P < 0.05$). In addition, *PER2* silencing downregulated mRNA of *ACACA*, *FASN*, *LPIN1* and *SCD* ($P < 0.05$), indicating an overall inhibition of lipogenesis and desaturation. The downregulation of *PPARG* and *SREBF1* in response to *PER2* silencing underscore the importance of circadian clock signaling and transcriptional regulation of lipogenesis. Therefore, data suggest that *PER2* participates in the coordination of mammary lipid metabolism and may be a component of the control of lipid droplet and TAG synthesis in mammary cells.

Key Words: *PER2* silencing, mammary epithelial cell, lipid metabolism

M77 Milk fatty acid profiles of beef cows in response to a short feed restriction during lactation. I. Casasús*, J. R. Bertolin, K. Orquera, J. Ferrer, and M. Blanco, *Ctr Invest y Tecnol Agroal Aragon (CITA), IA2 (CITA-Universidad de Zaragoza), Zaragoza, Spain.*

The relationship between energy balance and the milk fatty acid (FA) profile is well established in dairy cows but has received little attention in beef cattle. We analyzed the milk fatty acid profile of 16 Parde de Montaña beef cows 2 mo post-calving in response to a 4-d (d) dietary restriction (55% of energy requirements, 6.2 kg dry matter (DM) hay/d), as compared with a previous basal and an 8-d refeeding period (100% of requirements; 7.0 kg DM/d hay + 2.7 kg DM/d concentrate). With d0 as the start of restriction, milk was sampled on days d-2 (basal), d1, d3 (restriction) and d5, d6, d8 (refeeding). Individual FA were identified by gas chromatography, and sums of FA were calculated (saturated (SFA), mono-unsaturated (MUFA), polyunsaturated (PUFA), cis-MUFA, trans-MUFA, C4-C15 de novo synthesis FA and C16-C24 mobilization FA). These sums and the 4 major FA (C16:0, C18:1-9c, C18:0, C14:0) were analyzed using mixed models, with day as fixed and cow as random effects. All the results presented here were significant at $P < 0.001$. The milk FA profile responded immediately to changes in the energy balance and/or the diet. On d1 of restriction, the concentrations of SFA decreased, mainly due to a reduction in the de novo synthesis FA and C16. A concomitant increase in MUFA (associated with that of C18:1-9c, predominant in body fat) was observed. These changes, along with the increments in C16-C24 FA, indicate an enhanced fat mobilization from the adipose tissue. During the restriction, C18:0 and trans-MUFA decreased while cis-MUFA and PUFA increased, as a result of both the mobilization and the change in diet composition. The opposite occurred in the refeeding phase. On d5, MUFA decreased (due to the reduction in C18:1-9c) and SFA increased because of the rise in the de novo synthesis FAs and C16:0, reflecting the reversion of fat mobilization. At the end of refeeding (d8), the individual FA returned to basal concentrations, but the sum of C16-C24 mobilization FAs was even lower and that of C4-C15 de novo synthesis FAs was higher than basal values, indicating a possible "rebound effect" after restriction and refeeding.

Key Words: beef cows, nutritional challenge, milk fatty acid profile

M78 Effects of glucose and acetate infusion on mammary uptakes of essential amino acids by lactating dairy cows. B. Li*¹, R. Laforest¹, L. Wright¹, J. Kim¹, P. Kedzierski¹, V. Osborne¹, J. Doelman^{1,2}, and J. Cant¹, ¹University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada, ²Trouw nutrition, Putten, the Netherlands.

Previous research suggests that glucogenic energy can stimulate milk protein yield of dairy cows while lipogenic energy does not. To explore differences in mammary essential amino acid (EAA) utilization between these types of energy, 5 rumen-fistulated cows were given additional glucose or acetic acid in a 5 \times 5 Latin square design. Infusion treatments were:

**Abstracts of the
2020 American Dairy Science Association®
Annual Meeting**

***Journal of Dairy Science*®
Volume 103, Supplement 1**



ABSTRACTS

American Dairy Science Association®

Monday, June 22, to Wednesday, June 24, 2020

Oral Competitions

	Abstract No.	Page No.
ADSA-SAD Dairy Foods Oral Competition	1–3.....	1
ADSA-SAD Dairy Production Oral Competition	4–7.....	2
ADSA-SAD Original Research Oral Competition	8, 9, 415, 416	4
ADSA Graduate Student Dairy Foods Oral Competition.....	10–18	6
ADSA Graduate Student Production MS Oral Competition.....	19–27	9
ADSA Graduate Student Production PhD Oral Competition.....	28–38	12

Oral Presentations

Monday Block 1

Animal Health: Transition Cow 1	39–44	16
Growth and Development	45–51	18
Lactation Biology.....	52–56	21
Production, Management, and the Environment.....	57–61	23
Ruminant Nutrition General	62–67	25

Monday Block 2

Animal Health: Transition Cow 2.....	68–73	27
Growth and Development	74–78	29
Lactation Biology.....	79–81	31
Production, Management, and the Environment.....	82–87	32
Ruminant Nutrition: General.....	88–93	34
Small Ruminant.....	94, 95	36

Monday Block 3

Dairy Foods: Processing	96–100.....	37
Production, Management, and the Environment.....	101–106.....	39
Ruminant Nutrition: Gut Physiology, Fermentation, and Digestion.....	107–111.....	41
Small Ruminant.....	112–117.....	43
Breeding and Genetics	118–123.....	45

Monday Block 4

Breeding and Genetics	124–130.....	47
Dairy Foods Processing	131–134.....	50
Production, Management, and the Environment.....	135–139.....	52
Ruminant Nutrition: Calves and Heifers.....	140–146.....	54
Ruminant Nutrition: Gut Physiology, Fermentation, and Digestion.....	147–152, 418.....	57

Tuesday Block 1

Breeding and Genetics	153–158.....	60
Dairy Foods: Dairy Products	159–161.....	62
Forages and Pastures	162–168.....	63
Physiology and Endocrinology	169–174.....	66

	Abstract No.	Page No.
Forages and Pastures	392–397	152
Physiology and Endocrinology	398–402	154
Production, Management, and the Environment.....	403–408	156
Ruminant Nutrition: Gut Physiology, Fermentation, and Digestion.....	409–413	158
Roundtable: Lipid Metabolism – A DC36 Recap	414	160
Roundtable: Online Teaching: So What Now?.....	421–423	161

Poster Competitions

ADSA-SAD Original Research Poster Competition.....	M1–M6, M137	162
ADSA Graduate Student Dairy Foods Poster Competition	M7–M12	164
ADSA Graduate Student Production MS Poster Competition.....	M13–M19	166
ADSA Graduate Student Production PhD Poster Competition	M20–M29	169

Poster Presentations

Monday Posters

Animal Health 1: Transition Cow.....	M30–M42, M140	173
Dairy Foods 1	M43–M55	178
Growth and Development 1.....	M56–M74	182
Lactation Biology 1	M75–M87	188
Production, Management, and the Environment 1	M88–M102	192
Ruminant Nutrition: Calves and Heifers.....	M103–M113	197
Ruminant Nutrition: General 1	M114–M135, M138, M139	201
Small Ruminant 1	M136	209

Tuesday Posters

Animal Behavior and Well-Being 1	T1–T15	210
Animal Health 2: Calves.....	T16–T29, T156	215
Dairy Foods 2	T30–T43	220
Extension Education 1	T44–T47	225
Forages and Pastures 1.....	T48–T55	227
Physiology and Endocrinology 1	T56–T70	230
Production, Management, and the Environment 2	T71–T85	235
Reproduction 1	T86–T102	240
Ruminant Nutrition: General 2	T103–T118	246
Ruminant Nutrition: Carbohydrates and Lipids	T119–T136	251
Ruminant Nutrition: Gut Physiology, Fermentation, and Digestion	T137–T155	257

Wednesday Posters

Animal Health 3: Mastitis.....	W1–W9	263
Breeding and Genetics 1.....	W10–W25	266
Dairy Foods 3	W26–W40	271
Forages and Pastures 2.....	W41–W49	276
Production, Management, and the Environment 3	W50–W64	280
Ruminant Nutrition: General 3	W65–W101	285
Ruminant Nutrition: Protein/Amino Acids	W102–W124	296

Author Index		304
--------------------	--	-----

Milk fatty acid profiles of beef cows in response to a short feed restriction during lactation



I. Casasús*,
J.R. Bertolín, K. Orquera,
J. Ferrer, M. Blanco

In beef cows, the milk fatty acid profile shows a prompt response to changes in diet/energy balance

➔ they are early indicators of cow nutritional status



CITA Aragón-IA2
Zaragoza, SPAIN

GenTORE @GenTORE_H2020
Genomic management Tools to Optimise Resilience and Efficiency



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No 727213.

Milk fatty acid profiles of beef cows in response to a short feed restriction during lactation

When dairy cows undergo a negative energy balance, their milk fatty acid (FA) profile reflects the mobilization of fat reserves and a reduced *de novo* synthesis of FA in the mammary gland.

How does the milk FA profile of beef cows respond to a short but intense nutrient restriction during lactation?



MATERIALS & METHODS

Animals

16 adult Parda de Montaña suckler cows (calving Oct 20, LW 643 kg)

Feeding management

- 100% diet throughout lactation
- **4-day 55% energy restriction**
2 months post-calving

Diet	100%	55%
Hay, kg DM/d	7.0	6.2
Concentrate, kg DM/d	2.7	-
Energy, MJ NE/d	60	35

Measurements




Phase
diet
day

Basal	Challenge			Refeeding		
100%	55%			100%		
-2	0	1	3	5	6	8

Hand-milked samples after calf suckling
Individual FA identified by gas chromatography

- calculation of FA sums:



Double bonds

- saturated (SFA)
- monounsaturated (MUFA)
- polyunsaturated (PUFA)
- cis-MUFA, trans-MUFA

Chain length


- C4-C15 *de novo* synthesis FA
- C16-C24 mobilization FA

- **major FA** (C16:0, C18:1-9c, C18:0, C14:0)

→ **Statistical analyses**
Mixed Models
(day fixed, cow random)

RESULTS

Major individual Fatty Acids



Challenge


- increase in **C18:1-9c** (body fat)

Refeeding

- increase in **C16** (preformed + *de novo*) and **C14** (*de novo*)



FA according to number and position of double bonds




Challenge

- increase in **MUFA**, **cis-MUFA** (C18:1-9c), **PUFA**

Refeeding

- decrease in **MUFA**
- increased **SFA** (*de novo* FAs and C16:0)

FA according to chain length



Challenge

- increase in **C16-24 FA** (fat mobilization)

Refeeding

- increase in ***de novo* FAs** and **C16:0** (reversion of fat mobilization).



Immediate response to changes in feeding management



Milk fatty acid profiles of beef cows in response to a short feed restriction during lactation



I. Casasús, J.R. Bertolín,
K. Orquera, J. Ferrer, M. Blanco



In beef cows, the milk fatty acid profile shows a prompt response to changes in diet/energy balance

➔ they are early indicators of cow nutritional status

Thanks for your attention

