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## Background

## Legislation

1. General technical conditions for pasture use in areas managed by the regional government (2012)
2. Particular conditions for each pasture
3. General technical conditions for pasture use

- Contracts:
length of use (years)
duties of the user (annual grazing, fire prevention, improvements)
- Price:
per ha, according to carrying capacity
improvements can be discounted from original price
- Control:
periodic vistis by forest guards (biodiversity, soil, landscape quality, forest preservation, vulnerability to hazards...)

2. Particular conditions for a given pasture area

## Characteristics

pasture size (ha)
price
no. of heads $\qquad$ historical uses??? period of use

## Rights of use

access

## Duties of user

fencing
maintenance of infrastructures

## Compatibility with other uses

hunting timber extraction

## Our high mountain pastures



Information in the Grazing Licence pasture size (ha) no. of heads period of use (jan-dec) price

Fenero-
I guácel- La selvaVacún Espata Recuasta Total

| 2. |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ha | 308 | 150 | 555 | 1013 |


| historical useheads cattle | 350 | 50 | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | heads sheep |  | 1280 | 920 |


| heads mares | 30 | 30 |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| total LU | 350 | 272 | 138 | $\mathbf{7 6 0}$ |


| $€$ | 2843 | 6771 | 4957 | $\mathbf{1 4 5 7 1}$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| €/ha | 9.23 | 45.14 | 8.93 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 1 0}$ | av. €/ha |
| €/LU | 8.12 | 24.89 | 35.92 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9 8}$ | av. €/LU |
| LU/ha | 1.14 | 1.81 | 0.25 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 7}$ | av. LU/ha |



| Range no. | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha | 308 | 150 | 555 |
| heads cattle | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |  |
| heads sheep |  | $\mathbf{1 2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 0}$ |
| heads mares |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |  |
| total LU | 350 | 272 | 138 |
| $€$ | 2843 | 6771 | 4957 |
| €/ha | 9.23 | 45.14 | 8.93 |
| €/LU | 8.12 | 24.89 | 35.92 |
| LU/ha | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |

Why the difference?
vegetation cover slope other factors?


Actual use by sheep (Blanch et al., 1995) around 750 sheep in $450 \mathrm{ha}=0.25 \mathrm{LU} / \mathrm{ha}$


Actual use by cattle (Villalba et al., 1995) around 100 cows in 100 ha = 1 LU/ha

$\qquad$ 1000

## TOTAL ACTUAL USE




This is what happens in our pastures ooo in PRAGTICE and at the small scale

## but what is the THEORY

for administrative purposes at a larger scale?

## Eligibility Coefficient in Permanent Pastures



Eligibility of pastures for CAP basic payments UE agricultural subsidies calculated at the farm level on a per ha basis
Coefficient $=\mathrm{f}$ (slope *land cover * vegetation type)


Vegetation type:
0,1 (admissible, not admissible)
Land cover:



How do we concile the low eligibility of the pastures in higher environmental risk with their preservation by livestock use??


