

# Effect of advancing the supply of finisher diet on growth performances and carcass and pork quality of heavy barrows and gilts

J. Suárez-Belloch<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Sanz<sup>2</sup>, J. A. Guada<sup>1</sup> and M. A. Latorre<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Zaragoza, Avda. Miguel Servet, 177, 50013 Zaragoza, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria de Aragón, Avda. Montaña, 930, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain

(Received 16 November 2015; Accepted 1 June 2016; First published online 27 June 2016)

A total of 120 Duroc × (Large White × Landrace) pigs, 50% barrows and 50% gilts, with 54.1 ± 0.14 kg BW and 103 ± 3 days of age, were used to study the effect of advancing the shift to a standard finisher feed from 100 to 90 and 80 kg BW on production performances and carcass and pork quality. Each of the six treatments (two sexes × three BWs at time of feeding shift) was replicated four times and the experimental unit was the pen (with five pigs for growth performance and carcass variables and three pigs for pork and fat traits). The grower (163 g CP and 9.5 g total Lys/kg) and the finisher diets (152 g CP and 7.9 g total Lys/kg) were based on maize, barley and vegetal protein concentrates, contained 13.39 MJ metabolizable energy/kg and were offered ad libitum through the trial. Pigs intended for dry-cured product elaboration were slaughtered at 170 ± 3 days of age as average (124 and 115 kg BW for barrows and gilts, respectively). For the overall period, barrows ate more feed (P < 0.001) and grew faster (P = 0.03) than gilts. No effect of feed shift was observed on growth performances, although the average daily CP intake (P = 0.01) and feeding costs (P = 0.04) were reduced by advancing the transition to the finisher feed. Carcasses from barrows were heavier (P < 0.001) and had wider backfat depth (P < 0.001) than those from gilts but no significant differences were observed in the meat chemical composition. The feed change schedule did not modify carcass or meat traits. It is concluded that an early shift to the finisher feed (at 80 kg BW instead of 100 kg BW) might be an interesting strategy in pigs intended for dry-cured products because, although it neither increased body fatness nor improved pork quality, CP intake and feeding costs were reduced without impairment of growth performances. Results were similar for barrows and gilts.

Keywords: fatness, feed shift time, growth performance, pigs, sex

# Implications

Certain levels of backfat depth in carcass and intramuscular fat (IMF) in meat guarantee the correct processing and high quality of pork products, but they are not easy to attain due to genetic selection for lean of the current pig crossbreds. In addition, the price of the feeds and the environmental contamination due to animal husbandry are increasing dramatically in the last years. An early shifting to the finisher feed, whose protein content is lower and also is usually cheaper than the grower feed, could be a strategy to solve these problems.

# Introduction

Decades of genetic selection for lean have resulted in a drastic reduction of fatness in pigs. However, in the Mediterranean countries, fatter pigs are required for elaborating cured products, such as ham, due to the beneficial effects on processing and eating quality (Ruíz *et al.*, 2002). Several nutritional strategies are being evaluated to achieve a certain level of backfat depth and also of IMF; for instance, the restriction of dietary CP (D'Souza *et al.*, 2003) and/or Lys (Suárez-Belloch *et al.*, 2015a and 2015b) as the first-limiting amino acid for growth. The reduction of these nutrients in diet would decrease the rate of protein synthesis increasing the proportion of energy retained as fat (Adeola and Young, 1989).

In this context, an early supply of the finisher diet could be an alternative of easy implementation in practice. According to National Research Council (NRC) (2012), diets for pigs from 60 to 100 kg BW have to include 19.4 g N and 8.4 g total Lys/kg, whereas those for pigs from 100 to 135 kg BW ought to provide 16.7 g N and 7.1 g total Lys/kg. Similarly, Fundación Española para el Desarrollo de la Nutrición Animal (FEDNA) (2013) recommends 170 g CP and 9.6 g total Lys/kg for grower diets (60 to 100 kg BW) and 134 to 152 g CP and 8.0 g total Lys/kg for finisher diets (100 kg BW slaughter). Thus, finisher diets are nutritionally less concentrated and therefore cheaper than grower diets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> E-mail: malatorr@unizar.es

Our hypothesis is that the change of feed from the grower to the finisher period earlier than recommended (before reaching 100 kg BW) could increase body fatness, having in mind the CP content of both diets. Besides, it would also reduce feeding costs and environmental contamination (Gallo *et al.*, 2014 and 2015). The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of providing the finisher diet at 100, 90 or 80 kg BW on performances and carcass, meat and fat quality of barrows and gilts intended for dry-cured products.

#### Material and methods

#### Animal husbandry and feeding management

All the experimental procedures used in this study were in compliance with the Spanish guidelines for the care and use of animals in research (Boletín Oficial Estado, 2007). A total of 120 crossbred pigs, 50% barrows and 50% gilts, with  $54.1 \pm 3.45$  kg BW and  $103 \pm 3$  days of age were used. All animals were the progeny of Duroc sires (Asociación Turolense de Industrias Agroalimentarias, Teruel, Spain) and Landrace × Large White dams (Hypor España G.P., Barcelona, Spain). Males were castrated with  $5 \pm 3$  days of age. At the arrival to the experimental farm (El Chantre, Teruel, Spain), pigs were housed in 30% slotted floor pens (2.30 × 2.60 m) in a natural environment barn and allotted to 24 pens (five pigs each) according to initial BW (same average BW per pen).

There were six treatments consisting of two sexes (barrows and gilts) and three BWs at the time of feed change (100, 90 and 80 kg), and each treatment was replicated four times. The first group (100 kg BW) was considered as control according to the optimum time of feed change from the grower to the finisher period as recommended by NRC (2012) and FEDNA (2013). Diets for both phases were formulated to meet the nutrient levels recommended according to FEDNA (2013) for pigs of that BW with a high potential of lean growth. The composition and the estimated (FEDNA, 2010) nutrient value of diets are shown in Table 1. Pigs had free access to pelleted feed and water during the trial. Samples of each diet were taken, pooled at the end of the experimental period and preserved at room temperature for analysis. Animals were slaughtered when the average age was 170 days (169 and  $171 \pm 3$  days for barrows and gilts, respectively).

#### Growth performance traits

Mortality and the possible presence of pathologies were checked daily. Individual BW and feed consumption per pen were recorded every week and were used to calculate average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) per replicate. Also, the average daily CP intake and the feeding costs per pig were calculated, for the overall trial, considering that 238 and 230€/ton were average prices of feed for growing and finishing, during the month of June in the Lonja Barcelona (2015).

Table	1	Ingredient	composition	and	estimated	and	determined
analyse	25	of the exper	imental diets	(g/kg	as-fed basis,	unles	ss otherwise
indicate	ed,	)					

Ingredients           Barley         381.2         402.9           Wheat         242.6         261.7           Soybean meal (47% CP)         132.4         98.0           Bakery meal         108.3         103.4           Rapeseed meal         80.0         80.0           Bended fat         30.0         30.0           Calcium carbonate         9.0         9.0           Sodium chloride         4.0         4.0           Dicalcium phosphate         8.0         8.0           Lysine supplement (78%)         1.5         0           Vitamins and minerals <sup>a</sup> 3.0         3.0           Estimated analyses         Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.39         13.39           Determined analyses         57.2         59.6         57.2         59.6           CP         163.4         152.6         152.6         152.6		Grower diet	Finisher diet
Barley         381.2         402.9           Wheat         242.6         261.7           Soybean meal (47% CP)         132.4         98.0           Bakery meal         108.3         103.4           Rapeseed meal         80.0         80.0           Blended fat         30.0         30.0           Calcium carbonate         9.0         9.0           Sodium chloride         4.0         4.0           Dicalcium phosphate         8.0         8.0           Lysine supplement (78%)         1.5         0           Vitamins and minerals <sup>a</sup> 3.0         3.0           Estimated analyses         Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.39         13.39           Determined analyses         57.2         59.6         57.2         59.6           CP         163.4         152.6         152.6         152.6	Ingredients		
Wheat         242.6         261.7           Soybean meal (47% CP)         132.4         98.0           Bakery meal         108.3         103.4           Rapeseed meal         80.0         80.0           Blended fat         30.0         30.0           Calcium carbonate         9.0         9.0           Sodium chloride         4.0         4.0           Dicalcium phosphate         8.0         8.0           Lysine supplement (78%)         1.5         0           Vitamins and minerals <sup>a</sup> 3.0         3.0           Estimated analyses         Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.39         13.39           Determined analyses         Dry matter         921.8         915.6           Total ash         57.2         59.6         CP	Barley	381.2	402.9
Soybean meal (47% CP)         132.4         98.0           Bakery meal         108.3         103.4           Rapeseed meal         80.0         80.0           Blended fat         30.0         30.0           Calcium carbonate         9.0         9.0           Sodium chloride         4.0         4.0           Dicalcium phosphate         8.0         8.0           Lysine supplement (78%)         1.5         0           Vitamins and minerals <sup>a</sup> 3.0         3.0           Estimated analyses         Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.39         13.39           Determined analyses         Dry matter         921.8         915.6           Total ash         57.2         59.6         CP	Wheat	242.6	261.7
Bakery meal         108.3         103.4           Rapeseed meal         80.0         80.0           Blended fat         30.0         30.0           Calcium carbonate         9.0         9.0           Sodium chloride         4.0         4.0           Dicalcium phosphate         8.0         8.0           Lysine supplement (78%)         1.5         0           Vitamins and minerals <sup>a</sup> 3.0         3.0           Estimated analyses         Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.39         13.39           Determined analyses         Dry matter         921.8         915.6           Total ash         57.2         59.6         CP	Soybean meal (47% CP)	132.4	98.0
Rapeseed meal         80.0         80.0           Blended fat         30.0         30.0           Calcium carbonate         9.0         9.0           Sodium chloride         4.0         4.0           Dicalcium phosphate         8.0         8.0           Lysine supplement (78%)         1.5         0           Vitamins and minerals <sup>a</sup> 3.0         3.0           Estimated analyses         Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.39         13.39           Determined analyses         Dry matter         921.8         915.6           Total ash         57.2         59.6         CP	Bakery meal	108.3	103.4
Blended fat         30.0         30.0           Calcium carbonate         9.0         9.0           Sodium chloride         4.0         4.0           Dicalcium phosphate         8.0         8.0           Lysine supplement (78%)         1.5         0           Vitamins and minerals <sup>a</sup> 3.0         3.0           Estimated analyses         Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.39         13.39           Determined analyses         Dry matter         921.8         915.6           Total ash         57.2         59.6           CP         163.4         152.6	Rapeseed meal	80.0	80.0
Calcium carbonate9.09.0Sodium chloride4.04.0Dicalcium phosphate8.08.0Lysine supplement (78%)1.50Vitamins and mineralsa3.03.0Estimated analyses13.3913.39Determined analyses015.6Dry matter921.8915.6Total ash57.259.6CP163.4152.6	Blended fat	30.0	30.0
Sodium chloride4.04.0Dicalcium phosphate8.08.0Lysine supplement (78%)1.50Vitamins and mineralsa3.03.0Estimated analyses13.3913.39Determined analyses013.39Dry matter921.8915.6Total ash57.259.6CP163.4152.6	Calcium carbonate	9.0	9.0
Dicalcium phosphate8.08.0Lysine supplement (78%)1.50Vitamins and mineralsa3.03.0Estimated analyses13.3913.39Determined analyses013.39Dry matter921.8915.6Total ash57.259.6CP163.4152.6	Sodium chloride	4.0	4.0
Lysine supplement (78%)1.50Vitamins and mineralsa3.03.0Estimated analyses Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg)b13.3913.39Determined analyses00Dry matter921.8915.6Total ash57.259.6CP163.4152.6	Dicalcium phosphate	8.0	8.0
Vitamins and mineralsa3.03.0Estimated analyses Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg)b13.3913.39Determined analyses Dry matter921.8915.6Total ash57.259.6CP163.4152.6	Lysine supplement (78%)	1.5	0
Estimated analyses Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.39 13.39 Determined analyses Dry matter 921.8 915.6 Total ash 57.2 59.6 CP 163.4 152.6	Vitamins and minerals <sup>a</sup>	3.0	3.0
Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup> 13.3913.39Determined analysesDry matter921.8915.6Total ash57.259.6CP163.4152.6	Estimated analyses		
Determined analyses         921.8         915.6           Dry matter         921.8         915.6           Total ash         57.2         59.6           CP         163.4         152.6	Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) <sup>b</sup>	13.39	13.39
Dry matter         921.8         915.6           Total ash         57.2         59.6           CP         163.4         152.6	Determined analyses		
Total ash 57.2 59.6 CP 163.4 152.6	Dry matter	921.8	915.6
CP 163.4 152.6	Total ash	57.2	59.6
	СР	163.4	152.6
Ether extract 55.2 60.1	Ether extract	55.2	60.1
NDF 139.2 139.9	NDF	139.2	139.9
Starch 369.7 383.8	Starch	369.7	383.8
Total amino acids	Total amino acids		
Lysine 9.5 7.9	Lysine	9.5	7.9
Methionine 2.2 2.4	Methionine	2.2	2.4
Methionine + cystine 5.4 4.7	Methionine + cystine	5.4	4.7
Threonine 6.2 5.8	Threonine	6.2	5.8
Tryptophan 2.0 1.9	Tryptophan	2.0	1.9
Fatty acids (g/kg of total fatty acids)	Fatty acids (g/kg of total fatty acids)		
C16:0 224.4 231.6	C16:0	224.4	231.6
C18:0 78.5 87.2	C18:0	78.5	87.2
C18:1 (n-9) 343.7 344.1	C18:1 (n-9)	343.7	344.1
C18:2 (n-6) 252.8 240.8	C18:2 (n-6)	252.8	240.8
C18:3 (n-3) 24.5 22.2	C18:3 (n-3)	24.5	22.2

<sup>a</sup>Provided per kilogram of complete diet: 7000 IU vitamin A; 1300 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub>; 10 IU vitamin E; 0.4 mg vitamin K<sub>3</sub>; 0.8 mg vitamin B<sub>1</sub>; 3 mg vitamin B<sub>2</sub>; 1 mg vitamin B<sub>6</sub>; 15 µg vitamin B<sub>12</sub>; 12 mg nicotinic acid; 8 mg calcium pantothenate; 10 mg choline chloride; 1 µg biotine; 15 mg Cu (copper sulfate); 80 mg Fe (ferrous carbonate); 35 mg Mn (manganese sulfate); 80 mg Zn (zinc oxide); 0.1 mg Co (cobalt carbonate); 0.3 mg Se (sodium selenite) and 0.3 mg I (potassium iodate).

<sup>b</sup>According to FEDNA (2010).

#### Pre-slaughter procedure

On the day before slaughtering, feed was withheld for 7 h and pigs were weighed and transported 30 km to a commercial abattoir (Jamones y Embutidos Alto Mijares, S.L., Teruel, Spain), where they were kept in lairage for 10 h with full access to water but not to feed. Animals were electrically stunned (225 to 380 V/0.5 A for 5 to 6 s), exsanguinated, scalded, skinned, eviscerated and split down the midline according to standard commercial procedures.

#### Carcass measures and sampling

At the end of the slaughter line, hot carcass weight was individually recorded and used to calculate dressing percentage. At 45 min *postmortem*, carcass length (from the posterior edge of the symphysis pubis to the anterior edge of the first rib), ham length (from the anterior edge of the symphysis pubis to the hock joint) and ham perimeter (at its widest) were measured on the left side of each carcass using a flexible ruler with a precision of 0.5 cm. In addition, backfat thickness (between the last 3rd and 4th ribs) and fat depth over the *gluteus medius* (GM) muscle (at its thinnest point) were measured, by a rule with millimeter precision, on the same carcass side.

The head was removed at the atlanto-occipital junction and carcasses were suspended in the air and refrigerated at 2°C (1 m/s air speed; 90% relative humidity) for 6 h. Later, carcasses were processed and main lean cuts (left ham, shoulder and loin) were trimmed to fit commercial requirements, and individually weighed to calculate their yield in carcass. Finally, the carcasses of three pigs per pen (12 per treatment to give a total of 72 animals) were randomly chosen to get two samples for subsequent analyses:  $400 \pm 20$  g of loin (*longissimus thoracis* (LT) muscle) at the last rib level, and  $50 \pm 5$  g of subcutaneous fat including fat layers, skin and lean at the tail insertion in the coxal region. All the samples were individually vacuumpackaged and stored at -20°C.

# Laboratorial analyses

In feeds, dry matter was determined by oven drying (934.01), total ash by muffle furnace (942.05), CP by the Kjeldahl method (976.05) and ether extract by Soxhlet analysis (2003.05), following the procedures of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2005). The NDF was analyzed with an ANKOM 220 Fibre Analyser (ANKOM Technology, Fairport, NY, USA) as described by Mertens (2002). Total starch content was enzymatically determined using a commercial kit (Total Starch Assay Kit K-TSTA07/11; Megazyme, Bray, Ireland). The amino acid composition was analyzed in an external laboratory (Ofice, Barcelona, Spain) by HPLC-Fluorescence (PNT-M-109), with the exception of tryptophan and cystine that were determined by HPLC-UV and gas chromatography, respectively. The fatty acids of feeds were extracted and guantified according to the method of Jenkins (2010) and laboratorial equipment used is described below.

When required, pork samples were thawed in vacuumpackaged bags for 24 h at 4°C, removed from packages and weighed. Thawing loss was calculated taking into account the fresh and thawed weight. Color, chemical composition, cooking loss and hardness were determined in thawed samples. After blooming for about 20 min, pork color was evaluated with a chromameter (CM 2002; Minolta Camera, Osaka, Japan) using objective measurements as described by Latorre *et al.* (2009). The illuminant used was D65 and the standard observer position was 2°. The average of three random readings was used to measure lightness ( $L^*$ , a greater value is indicative of a lighter color), redness ( $a^*$ , a greater value is indicative of redder color) and yellowness ( $b^*$ , a greater value is indicative of a more yellow color). In addition, chroma (*C*<sup>\*</sup>) and hue angle (*H*°) were calculated as  $C^* = \sqrt{(a^{*2} + b^{*2})}$  and as  $H^\circ = \tan^{-1}(b^*/a^*)$  57.29, respectively. Chroma is related to the quantity of pigments and high values represent a more vivid color denoting lack of grayness, and *H*° is the attribute of a color perception denoted by blue, green, yellow, red, purple and so on related to the state of pigments.

Cooking loss was estimated from the pre- and post-cooked weights. In brief, an LT slice  $(200 \pm 20 \,\mathrm{g})$  was taken from each chop, weighed, placed in a plastic bag and cooked to an internal temperature of 70°C in a 75°C water bath (Precisterm; J.P. Selecta S.A., Barcelona, Spain). Internal temperature was monitored during cooking with a handheld temperature probe (model HI 9063; Hanna Instruments, Woonsocket, RI, USA). Cooked samples were allowed to cool at 15°C for 30 min, blotted dry and weighed. Samples were then cut parallel to the long axis of the muscle fibers into rectangular cross-section slices of  $10 \times 10$  mm and 30 mm length. Slices (six per chop) were sheared perpendicular to the fiber orientation, with a Warner-Bratzler device (Instron, Norwood, MA, USA) attached to an Instron Universal testing machine model 4301 (Instron), equipped with a 5-kg load cell and a crosshead speed of 150 mm/min.

Chemical composition of LT samples was analyzed for moisture by the oven drying method, CP using a Kjeldahl MT 2300 analyzer (Höganäs, Switzerland) and IMF by an ANKOM XT15 equipment (ANKOM Technology) according to Boletín Oficial Estado (1979).

Lipids from subcutaneous fat samples were extracted in chloroform methanol, according to Bligh and Dyer (1959) and butylated hydroxytoluene was used as antioxidant. Fatty acid methyl esters were generated by trans-esterification of lipids extracts, dissolved in *n*-hexane with KOH (2 N) in methanol and collected in hexane. Later, their composition and also that of feeds was determined using a Hewlett-Packard 6890 II gas chromatograph (Agilent, Wilmington, DE, USA) with a capillary column SP2380 (PA, USA) (100 m × 0.25 mm × 0.20 µm). Nitrogen was used as gas carrier and the methyl esters were identified using retention times of Sigma Chemical Co. standards. The proportions of total saturated (SFA), monounsaturated (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) were calculated from individual fatty acid proportions.

#### Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed as a randomized factorial design (2 × 3), using the GLM procedure of the SAS package (version 9.2). The model included sex (barrows and gilts) and the pig BW at the time of feed change (100, 90 and 80 kg) as main effects, as well as the interaction sex × time of feed change. In addition, for CP intake and feeding costs, correlation analysis was carried out using CORR procedure. The experimental unit was the pen (n = 4) with five animals for growth performances and carcass traits and with three animals for meat and fat traits. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered as a significant difference and a *P*-value between 0.05 and 0.10 as a trend.

#### Results

No significant interactions were detected for the variables studied and therefore only main effects are reported.

#### Growth performances

At the beginning of the trial, barrows were heavier than gilts (P < 0.001) (Table 2) because they were of a similar age. From 54 to 80 kg BW, both sexes showed similar growth performances. However, from 80 kg to the slaughter BW, barrows ate more feed (P = 0.007) and grew faster (P = 0.03) than gilts, effects which were also observed when the overall period was studied (P < 0.001 for ADFI and P = 0.002 for ADG). Consequently, barrows were heavier at slaughter (P < 0.001). At the end of the trial, average daily CP intake and feeding costs per pig tended to be higher for barrows than for gilts (P = 0.09).

As it was expected, no difference in ADG, ADFI or FCR was detected among treatments from 54 to 80 kg BW. Also, an early change to the finisher diet did not affect growth performances of pigs neither from 80 kg BW to the slaughter nor in the global period. The final BW was similar for the three groups taking into account that the slaughter had been previously fixed at 170 days as average. The average daily intake of CP (P = 0.01) and the feeding costs per pig (P = 0.04) were reduced when the shift to the finisher diet was made earlier.

#### Carcass characteristics

Carcasses from barrows were heavier (P < 0.001) and longer (P = 0.004) and had shorter (P < 0.001) but wider

(P = 0.003) hams than those from gilts (Table 3). Also, barrows had fatter carcasses, measured between the 3rd and the 4th last ribs (P < 0.001) and at GM muscle (P = 0.02), as well as heavier hams (P < 0.001), shoulders (P < 0.001) and loins (P = 0.004) than gilts. No differences between sexes were detected for the yield of these primal pieces expressed as percentage of carcass. The BW of feed change did not affect any carcass trait.

#### Pork quality and fatty acid profile of subcutaneous fat

Table 4 shows the effect of sex and feed shift time on thawed pork loin from barrows tended to have lower  $L^*$  values (P = 0.06) and had higher  $H^\circ$  values (P = 0.01) than that from gilts (Table 4). Also, meat from barrows had lower thawing loss (P = 0.03) and cooking loss (P = 0.006) and was less hard (P = 0.04) than that from gilts. There was no difference between sexes in chemical composition of pork. In addition, no effect of switching diet at earlier age was observed on quality traits of the LT muscle.

The effect of treatments on the fatty acid profile of subcutaneous fat is shown in Table 5. Barrows had lower PUFA proportion (P = 0.005) than gilts because of lower contents of C18:2 (P = 0.004) and C18:3 (P = 0.08). Although fat from barrows had higher concentrations of C14:0 (P < 0.05), C16:0 (P = 0.005), C17:1 (P < 0.05) and C20:0 (P = 0.03) than that from gilts, the total SFA and MUFA contents were not affected by sex (P > 0.10). The shift to the finisher diet at lighter BW decreased the MUFA proportion (P = 0.03) because of the lower C18:1 content (P = 0.006) and tended

 Table 2 Effect of an earlier supply of the finisher diet on productive performances of barrows and gilts

			Sex		Feed shift time (kg BW)					
Variable	Barrows	Gilts	SEM ( <i>n</i> = 12)	<i>P</i> -value <sup>a</sup>	100	90	80	SEM ( <i>n</i> = 8)	P-value <sup>a</sup>	
BW (kg)										
Beginning	55.5	52.8	0.07	<0.001	54.1	54.1	54.1	0.09	0.90	
At the time of feed change	92.6	91.3	0.48	0.07	102.1 <sup>×</sup>	94.3 <sup>y</sup>	79.5 <sup>z</sup>	0.59	<0.001	
At slaughter	124.4	115.5	0.96	<0.001	119.8	119.8	120.2	1.18	0.96	
From the beginning to 80 kg BW										
ADG (g/day)	1014	981	25.3	0.486	1012	1043	953	31.0	0.395	
ADFI (g/day)	2378	2326	32.5	0.639	2406	2385	2330	39.8	0.960	
FCR (g/g)	2.34	2.37	0.045	0.401	2.38	2.29	2.45	0.055	0.603	
Length (days)	25	28			27	27	27			
From 80 kg BW to slaughter										
ADG (g/day)	1070	957	25.1	0.034	999	978	1042	30.7	0.396	
ADFI (g/day)	3466	3241	32.0	0.007	3316	3322	3341	39.2	0.755	
FCR (g/g)	3.24	3.39	0.071	0.097	3.34	3.40	3.21	0.087	0.562	
Length (days)	41	40			40	40	40			
Overall period										
ADG (g/day)	1043	966	14.8	0.002	1004	1003	1008	18.1	0.98	
ADFI (g/day)	3021	2862	21.7	<0.001	2956	2950	2918	26.6	0.56	
FCR (g/g)	2.90	2.97	0.047	0.30	2.95	2.95	2.90	0.058	0.80	
Average CP intake (g/day)	477	469	3.42	0.09	479 <sup>×</sup>	474 <sup>xy</sup>	465 <sup>y</sup>	4.18	0.01	
Feeding costs (€) <sup>b</sup>	46.64	45.58	0.352	0.09	46.61 <sup>×</sup>	46.28 <sup>y</sup>	45.44 <sup>z</sup>	0.431	0.04	

ADG = average daily gain; ADFI = average daily feed intake; FCR = feed conversion ratio.

<sup>x,y,z</sup>Means with different superscript letters within a row differ (P<0.05).

<sup>a</sup>No significant interaction (sex  $\times$  feed shift time) was detected (P > 0.10).

<sup>b</sup>During experimental period (66 days for barrows and 68 days for gilts). Calculated using the average prices of June (Lonja Barcelona, 2015).

# Suárez-Belloch, Sanz, Guada and Latorre

Table 3 Effect of an earlier supply of the finisher diet on carcass quality traits of barrows and g	gilts
---	-------

	Sex					Feed shift time (kg BW)					
Variable	Barrows	Gilts	SEM ( <i>n</i> = 12)	<i>P</i> -value <sup>a</sup>	100	90	80	SEM ( <i>n</i> = 8)	P-value <sup>a</sup>		
Carcass weight (kg)	98.3	91.5	0.86	<0.001	93.9	96.0	94.9	1.05	0.39		
Carcass yield (%)	79.1	79.2	0.73	0.95	78.4	80.1	79.0	0.89	0.43		
Carcass length (cm)	87.0	85.5	0.30	0.004	86.6	85.9	86.3	0.37	0.43		
Ham length (cm)	31.0	35.0	0.13	<0.001	33.0	33.0	33.0	0.16	0.99		
Ham perimeter (cm)	74.3	72.8	0.29	0.003	73.5	73.3	73.9	0.36	0.50		
Backfat thickness (mm)											
At 3rd and 4th last ribs	20.0	15.2	0.49	<0.001	17.6	17.3	17.9	0.60	0.80		
At <i>gluteus medius</i> muscle	18.1	15.7	0.62	0.02	15.9	17.3	17.5	0.76	0.30		
Weight of left trimmed cuts (kg)											
Ham	12.6	11.8	0.11	<0.001	12.2	12.2	12.3	0.14	0.82		
Shoulder	7.55	7.03	0.08	<0.001	7.29	7.24	7.35	0.09	0.74		
Loin	3.11	2.94	0.03	0.004	3.03	3.05	3.00	0.04	0.67		
Total	23.2	21.8	0.22	<0.001	22.4	22.4	22.6	0.27	0.89		
Yield of left trimmed cuts (% carcass)											
Ham	12.9	12.9	0.13	0.80	13.1	12.7	13.0	0.16	0.26		
Shoulder	7.69	7.71	0.09	0.87	7.80	7.54	7.75	0.11	0.23		
Loin	3.17	3.22	0.04	0.43	3.24	3.18	3.16	0.05	0.52		
Total	23.6	23.9	0.26	0.49	24.0	23.3	23.9	0.32	0.28		

<sup>a</sup>No significant interaction (sex  $\times$  feed shift time) was detected (P > 0.10).

Table 4 Effect of an earlier supply of the finisher diet on thawed pork (longissimus thoracis muscle) quality from barrows and gilts

	Sex					Feed shift time (kg BW)					
Variable	Barrows	Gilts	SEM ( <i>n</i> = 12)	<i>P</i> -value <sup>a</sup>	100	90	80	SEM ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>P</i> -value <sup>a</sup>		
Color parameters											
Lightness ( <i>L</i> *)	49.8	51.8	0.64	0.06	51.1	49.9	51.4	0.78	0.39		
Redness (a*)	5.34	5.83	0.22	0.63	5.47	5.55	5.75	0.26	0.50		
Yellowness (b*)	2.66	3.29	0.20	0.29	2.05	2.25	2.66	0.24	0.60		
Chroma ( <i>C</i> *)	5.99	6.73	0.25	0.41	5.97	6.09	6.43	0.31	0.52		
Hue angle ( <i>H</i> °)	64.0	60.6	1.38	0.01	50.5	56.8	54.1	1.69	0.36		
Water holding capacity indicators											
Thawing loss (%)	13.9	16.1	0.06	0.03	15.1	15.0	14.9	0.08	0.98		
Cooking loss (%)	20.6	24.2	0.54	0.006	22.4	21.8	22.9	0.66	0.53		
Warner-Bratzler shear force (kg)	2.56	2.84	0.09	0.04	2.75	2.66	2.69	0.11	0.84		
Chemical composition (%)											
Moisture	72.4	73.4	1.05	0.53	71.6	73.8	73.4	1.28	0.51		
Protein	23.5	23.3	0.17	0.46	23.4	23.6	23.3	0.21	0.47		
Intramuscular fat	2.22	2.17	0.17	0.82	2.33	1.88	2.38	0.20	0.20		

<sup>a</sup>No significant interaction (sex  $\times$  feed shift time) was detected (*P* > 0.10).

to increase the total PUFA percentage (P = 0.08) due to the higher proportion of C18:3 (P = 0.005) and the trend in C18:2 (P = 0.10).

## Discussion

## Growth performances

Under *ad libitum* conditions, barrows are commonly found to consume more feed than gilts (Latorre *et al.*, 2008; Suárez-Belloch *et al.*, 2015a and 2015b) which is confirmed by the

results of this experiment, being the difference 160 g/day on average. This value is not far from the 220 g/day reported by Rodríguez-Sánchez *et al.* (2011) and explains the faster daily gains recorded in barrows compared with gilts. As a result, barrows were heavier at slaughtering because this was fixed at a similar age for both sexes (149 days as average). Also, the higher ADFI in barrows increased the feeding costs per pig by 1.06€ and the average CP intake by 8 g/day during the whole experiment (66 days). Cromwell *et al.* (1993) showed that gilts need higher levels of dietary protein than barrows to maximize efficiency of gain and lean growth.

Table 5 Effect of an earlier supply of the finisher diet on fatty acid profile (% of total fatty acids) of subcutaneous fat from barrows and gilts

			Sex		Feed shift time (kg BW)					
Variable	Barrows	Gilts	SEM ( <i>n</i> = 12)	<i>P-</i> value <sup>a</sup>	100	90	80	SEM ( <i>n</i> = 8)	P-value <sup>a</sup>	
C8:0	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.19	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.35	
C10:0	0.08	0.07	0.002	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.002	0.13	
C12:0	0.09	0.08	0.001	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.001	0.18	
C14:0	1.44	1.38	0.020	< 0.05	1.44	1.37	1.42	0.024	0.16	
C16:0	24.58	23.92	0.129	0.005	24.37	24.15	24.24	0.158	0.68	
C16:1	2.46	2.26	0.063	0.07	2.50	2.25	2.33	0.078	0.16	
C17:0	0.32	0.32	0.013	0.94	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.016	0.64	
C17:1	0.32	0.29	0.001	< 0.05	0.31	0.32	0.29	0.010	0.27	
C18:0	12.84	13.15	0.212	0.35	12.49	13.35	13.13	0.259	0.12	
C18:1	45.61	45.49	0.141	0.58	46.04 <sup>×</sup>	45.56 <sup>×y</sup>	45.04 <sup>y</sup>	0.173	0.006	
C18:2	10.33	11.05	0.137	0.004	10.49	10.55	11.02	0.168	0.10	
C18:3	0.77	0.80	0.012	0.08	0.76 <sup>y</sup>	0.76 <sup>y</sup>	0.83 <sup>×</sup>	0.014	0.005	
C20:0	0.22	0.20	0.006	0.03	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.007	0.51	
C20:1	0.92	0.87	0.040	0.45	0.83	0.91	0.93	0.048	0.37	
C22:0	0.02	0.11	0.045	0.24	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.056	0.99	
SFA	39.60	39.24	0.263	0.38	39.06	39.64	39.54	0.322	0.48	
MUFA	49.30	48.91	0.195	0.21	49.69 <sup>x</sup>	49.04 <sup>×y</sup>	48.60 <sup>y</sup>	0.238	0.03	
PUFA	11.10	11.85	0.148	0.005	11.25	11.31	11.86	0.182	0.08	

SFA =  $\sum$  saturated fatty acids; MUFA =  $\sum$  monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA =  $\sum$  polyunsaturated fatty acids.

<sup>x,y</sup>Means with different superscript letters within a row differ (P<0.05).

<sup>a</sup>No significant interaction (sex  $\times$  feed shift time) was detected (P > 0.10).

An early shift to the finisher diet did not affect growth performances through the experiment. However, it is worth to note that the amino acid levels of the feeds were able to support high growing rates, as pigs grew around 1 kg/day in both growing and finishing periods. Taking into account that the aim of the trial was increasing fatness, a slight impairment of FCR could have been carried out. In fact, in the literature, worse feed efficiency has been reported as a result of dietary protein or Lys restriction in both the grower (D'Souza *et al.*, 2003) and the finisher phase (Campbell *et al.*, 1984; Suárez-Belloch *et al.*, 2015b). The result of the current work is positive, under a productive point of view, but it would indicate that the nutrient restriction generated advancing the finisher diet by 21 days was very limited.

At the end of the trial, growth performances were not affected but CP intake and feeding cost decreased when the shift to the finisher diet was made to a lighter BW. Regression of these variables with BW showed that CP intake declined at a rate of 7 g/day (r = 0.77) per each 10 kg BW, with a saving of feeding cost of  $0.58 \in (r = 0.75)$ , from an initial value of 479 g/day in the control treatment. This suggests that incurring in a slight protein or amino acid restriction by feeding the finisher diet to 80 instead of 100 kg BW does not penalize growth performances while contributes to reducing N losses to environment as well as feeding costs in agreement with previous reports in heavy pigs (Daza *et al.*, 2010; Gallo *et al.*, 2014).

## Carcass characteristics

The higher weight of carcasses from barrows compared with gilts was a consequence of the heavier BW at slaughtering.

Therefore, the higher revenue from a barrow carcass will compensate the higher feeding costs compared with a gilt carcass. Also, carcasses from barrows were longer and had heavier lean cuts (hams, shoulders and loins) than those from gilts confirming previous results with similar pigs (Rodríguez-Sánchez et al., 2011) and autochthonous breeds reared outdoor (Franco and Lorenzo, 2013). However, when both sexes are slaughtered at similar BW, gilts have longer carcasses and heavier trimmed lean pieces (Latorre et al., 2009; Suárez-Belloch et al., 2016), probably because females are leaner. In fact, carcasses from barrows were fatter than those from gilts (by 30% at the level of 3rd and 4th last ribs and 15% over the GM muscle). These findings agree with other studies on heavy pigs (Latorre et al., 2008; Peinado et al., 2008; Suárez-Belloch et al., 2015a) confirming the high potential for fat deposition of barrows.

The similar responses of both sexes to the different BW of diet shifting, regarding all carcass and primal cut traits is relevant from a practical point of view as far as mixed feeding is concerned. In addition, none of the carcass characteristics were affected by the BW of diet shifting. The lack of a negative impact on ham or shoulder weight or yield is positive but the effort made to get higher body fatness was unsuccessful. The higher backfat depth in pigs fed the finisher diet to 80 rather than 100 kg BW was an expected result because inadequate supply of CP or Lys will limit protein synthesis increasing the energy available for fat deposition (Adeola and Young, 1989). However, the effects on fatness of CP restriction during the finisher period are controversial. Some authors have reported limited (Rodríguez-Sánchez *et al.*, 2011) or no

#### Suárez-Belloch, Sanz, Guada and Latorre

influence (Gallo *et al.*, 2015), although a majority (Cromwell *et al.*, 1993; Cisneros *et al.*, 1996; Witte *et al.*, 2000) have detected a clear increase of backfat thickness and a decrease in LT area or depth. It seems to depend on several factors such as sex, period length or level of restriction. In the current experiment, and with the CP levels used, the strategy of switching to the finisher diet 3 weeks earlier than recommended was not enough to achieve the target.

## Meat quality and fatty acid profile

It has been worth that meat characteristics were evaluated in thawed samples and some of them could be affected (paler color, lower moisture and water holding capacity and less tenderness). Meat from gilts had higher thawing and cooking losses than that from barrows, which agrees with previous results (Latorre et al., 2008; Franco and Lorenzo, 2013). The lower water holding capacity of gilt loin would justify, at least in part, its greater hardness, as a negative relationship between both traits has been reported (Tomovic et al., 2014). The moisture loss in meat is also related to higher lightness (Moon et al., 2009) because the exudates make up a thin film on the chop providing a more brilliant aspect. In fact, in the current trial, higher L\* values were recorded in pork from gilts. Regarding the chemical composition, no effect of sex was recorded, although a higher IMF content was expected in LT muscle from barrows than from gilts. In line with the results on carcass fatness and accordance with other authors (Latorre et al., 2008; Peinado et al., 2008), the laboratorial analysis showed higher IMF proportion in pork from barrows but the difference was not significant probably due to the high variability among samples. The sensory benefits of a high IMF content are well known in terms of juiciness and acceptability of meat (Wood et al., 2008).

The earlier shift to the finisher diet had no influence on meat quality. However, several reports have shown a significant relationship between dietary CP or Lys restriction with an increase of IMF and tenderness of pork (Cisneros *et al.*, 1996; Witte *et al.*, 2000). It has been even detected when the restriction is applied during the growing phase, although the response is attributed to compensatory growth during the subsequent realimentation period (Stolzenbach *et al.*, 2009; Suárez-Belloch *et al.*, 2016). As in the case of carcass backfat depth, the effect on marbling can depend on sex, period length, or level of CP or Lys restriction.

The fat from barrows was less polyunsaturated than that from gilts, which agrees with the work of Mas *et al.* (2010). Higher values of SFA and MUFA were recorded in barrows but the differences were not significant. The effects are related to differences in fatness as SFA and MUFA proportions increase with fatness at higher rate than PUFA due to the increasing contribution of *de novo* synthesis of fatty acids (Wood *et al.*, 2008). The most representative SFA is C16:0 whose concentration was significantly higher in barrows than in gilts, confirming the above explanation. The greater PUFA proportion in gilts was mainly due to the C18:2. The linoleic plays an important role in animal nutrition because it can reduce firmness and cohesiveness of adipose tissue and increases the fat oxidation rate (Wood *et al.*, 2008).

The shift to the finisher feed at different BW had limited effects on fatty acids but resulted in a decrease of MUFA and in a trend to increase PUFA. Teye et al. (2006) evaluated the dietary CP restriction in pigs during growing-finishing finding higher level of PUFA, effect likely a consequence of the distinct distribution of fatty acids between triacylglycerol (richer in SFA) and phospholipids (richer in PUFA) and the increasing proportion of triacylglycerol with increasing IMF content (Ntawubizi et al., 2009). Our hypothesis was that if an increase in lipogenesis was produced by the diet management, it would carry out an increase in the concentrations of *de novo* fatty acids (SFA and MUFA, especially C18:1) and a decrease of essential fatty acids (PUFA). However, no influence on fatness was observed and the explanation could be the modest level of replacement of dietary CP with dietary starch for a limited period of time.

## Conclusions

The shift to the finisher feed when pigs achieved 80 instead of 100 kg BW was not successful in getting an increase in the backfat thickness of carcass and the intramuscular content of pork, which is desirable in barrows and gilts intended for dry-cured products. However, it can be an interesting strategy because of its potential to reduce protein intake without impairing growth performances, which would contribute to lowering feeding costs and N losses to the environment.

# Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by the INIA (Project RTA 2008-00093-00-00; Madrid, Spain). Appreciation is due to Chantre (Teruel, Spain) for the care of experimental pigs and to Integraciones Porcinas S.L. (Teruel, Spain) and Jamones y Embutidos Alto Mijares S.L. (Teruel, Spain) for the help during animal slaughtering and carcass sampling.

# References

Adeola O and Young LG 1989. Dietary protein-induced changes in porcine muscle respiration, protein synthesis and adipose tissue metabolism. Journal of Animal Science 67, 664–673.

Association of Official Analytical Chemists 2005. Official methods of analysis, 18th edition. AOAC, Gaithersburg, MA, USA.

Bligh EG and Dyer WJ 1959. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology 37, 911–917.

Boletín Oficial Estado 1979. Orden de 31 de julio de 1979 por la que se establecen métodos oficiales de análisis de aceites y grasas, productos cárnicos, cereales y derivados, fertilizantes, productos fitosanitarios, productos lácteos, piensos, aguas y productos derivados de la uva. Boletín Oficial Estado 27, 20221–20346.

Boletín Oficial Estado 2007. Ley 32/2007 de 7 de Noviembre para el cuidado de los animales, en su explotación, transporte, experimentación y sacrificio. Boletín Oficial Estado 268, 45914–45920.

Campbell RG, Taverner MR and Curie MD 1984. Effect of feeding level and dietary protein content on the growth, body composition, and rate of protein deposition in pigs growing from 45 to 90 kg. Animal Production 38, 233–240.

Cisneros F, Ellis M, Baker DH, Easter RA and McKeith FK 1996. The influence of short-term feeding of amino acid-deficient diets and high dietary leucine levels on the intramuscular fat content of pig muscle. Animal Science 63, 517–522.

Cromwell GL, Cline TR, Crenshaw JD, Crenshaw TD, Ewan RC, Hamilton CR, Lewis AJ, Mahan DC, Miller ER and Pettigrew JE 1993. The dietary protein and (or) lysine requirements of barrows and gilts. Journal of Animal Science 71, 1510–1519.

Daza A, Latorre MA and López-Bote CJ 2010. The use of barley as single ingredient in the diet provided during the finishing period may improve the meat quality of heavy pigs from PO Teruel ham (Spain). Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research 8, 607–616.

D'Souza DN, Pethick DW, Dunshea FR, Pluske JR and Mullan BP 2003. Nutritional manipulation increases intramuscular fat levels in the *Longissimus dorsi* muscle of female finisher pigs. Australasian Journal of Agricultural Research 54, 745–749.

Franco D and Lorenzo JM 2013. Effect of gender (barrows vs. females) on carcass traits and meat quality of Celta pig reared outdoors. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 93, 727–734.

Fundación Española para el Desarrollo de la Nutrición Animal (FEDNA) 2010. Normas FEDNA para la formulación de piensos compuestos. FEDNA, Madrid, Spain.

Fundación Española para el Desarrollo de la Nutrición Animal (FEDNA) 2013. Necesidades nutricionales para ganado porcino. FEDNA, Madrid, Spain.

Gallo L, Dalla Montà G, Carraro L, Cecchinato A, Carnier P and Schiavon S 2014. Growth performance of heavy pigs fed restrictive diets with decreasing crude protein and indispensable amino acids content. Livestock Science 161, 130–138.

Gallo L, Dalla Montà G, Carraro L, Cecchinato A, Carnier P and Schiavon S 2015. Carcass quality and uniformity of heavy pigs fed restrictive diets progressive reductions in crude protein and indispensable amino acids. Livestock Science 172, 50–58.

Jenkins TC 2010. Technical note: common analytical errors yielding inaccurate results during analysis of fatty acids in feed and digesta samples. Journal of Dairy Science 93, 1170–1174.

Latorre MA, García-Belenguer E and Ariño L 2008. The effects of gender and slaughter weight on growth performance and carcass traits of pigs intended for dry-cured hams from Teruel (Spain). Journal of Animal Science 86, 1933–1942.

Latorre MA, Ripoll G, García-Belenguer E and Ariño L 2009. The effect of the gender and slaughter weight on loin and fat characteristics of pigs intended for Teruel dry-cured ham production. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research 7, 407–416.

Lonja Barcelona 2015. Lonja de Cereales de Barcelona. http://www. llotjadecereals.com/index.php/es/.

Mas G, Llavall M, Coll D, Roca R, Díaz I, Gispert M, Oliver MA and Realini CE 2010. Carcass and meat quality characteristics and fatty acid composition of tissues from Pietrain-crossbred barrows and gilts fed an elevated monounsaturated fat diet. Meat Science 85, 707–714.

Mertens DR 2002. Gravimetric determination of amylase treated neutral detergent fibre in feeds with refluxing beakers or crucibles: collaborative study. Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists International 85, 1217–1240.

Moon SH, Jeong JY, Kim GD, Cho IC and Jeon JT 2009. The relationship between measurements of color and water-holding capacity in pork loin. Journal of Animal Science and Technology 51, 329–336.

National Research Council (NRC) 2012. Nutrient requirements of swine, 11th revised edition. NRC, National Academic Press, Washington, DC, USA.

Ntawubizi M, Raes K, Buys N and De Smet S 2009. Effect of sire and sex on the intramuscular fatty acid profile and indices for enzyme activities in pigs. Livestock Science 122, 264–270.

Peinado J, Medel P, Fuentetaja A. and Mateos GG 2008. Influence of sex and castration of females on growth performance and carcass and meat quality of heavy pigs destined for the dry-cured industry. Journal of Animal Science 86, 1410–1417.

Rodríguez-Sánchez JA, Sanz MA, Blanco M, Serrano MP, Joy M and Latorre MA 2011. The influence of dietary lysine restriction during the finishing period on growth performance and carcass, meat, and fat characteristics of barrows and gilts intended for dry-cured ham production. Journal of Animal Science 89, 3651–3662.

Ruíz J, García C, Muriel E, Andrés AI and Ventanas J 2002. Influence of sensory characteristics on the acceptability of dry-cured ham. Meat Science 61, 347–354.

Stolzenbach S, Therkildsen M, Oksbjerg N, Lazarotti R, Ertbjerg P, Lametsch R and Byrne DV 2009. Compensatory growth response as a strategy to enhance tenderness in entire male and female pork M-*longissimus thoracis*. Meat Science 81, 163–170.

Suárez-Belloch J, Guada JA and Latorre MA 2015a. The effect of lysine restriction during grower period on productive performance, serum metabolites and fatness of heavy barrows and gilts. Livestock Science 171, 36–43.

Suárez-Belloch J, Guada JA and Latorre MA 2015b. Effects of sex and dietary lysine on performances and serum and meat traits in finisher pigs. Animal 9, 1731–1739.

Suárez-Belloch J, Latorre MA and Guada JA 2016. The effect of protein restriction during the growing period on carcass, meat and fat quality of heavy barrows and gilts. Meat Science 112, 16–23.

Teye GA, Sheard PR, Whittington FM, Nute GR, Stewart A and Wood JD 2006. Influence of dietary oils and protein level on pork quality. 1. Effects on muscle fatty acid composition, carcass, meat and eating quality. Meat Science 73, 157–165.

Tomovic VM, Zlender BA, Jokanovic MR, Tomovic MS, Sojic B, Skaljac SB, Tasic TA, Ikonic PM, Dodo MM and Hromis NM 2014. Technological quality and composition of the M. semimembranosus and M *longissimus dorsi* from Large White and Landrace pigs. Agricultural and Food Science 23, 9–18.

Witte DP, Ellis M, McKeith FP and Wilson ER 2000. Effect of dietary lysine level and environmental temperature during the finishing phase on the intramuscular fat content of pork. Journal of Animal Science 78, 1272–1276.

Wood JD, Enser M, Fisher AV, Nute GR, Sheard PR, Richardson RI, Hughes SI and Whittington FM 2008. Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: a review. Meat Science 78, 343–358.