DOI: 10.1111/pce.13781

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Hydraulic and photosynthetic limitations prevail over root nonstructural carbohydrate reserves as drivers of resprouting in two Mediterranean oaks

Víctor Resco de Dios^{1,2} | Carles Arteaga³ | José Javier Peguero-Pina⁴ | Domingo Sancho-Knapik⁴ | Haiyan Qin¹ | Obey K. Zveushe¹ | Wei Sun⁵ | David G. Williams⁶ | Matthias M. Boer⁷ | Jordi Voltas^{2,3} | José M. Moreno⁸ | David T. Tissue⁷ | Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín⁴

¹School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang, China

²Joint Research Unit CTFC-AGROTECNIO, Universitat de Lleida, Lleida, Spain

³Department of Crop and Forest Sciences, University of Lleida, Lleida, Spain

⁴Unidad de Recursos Forestales, Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria de Aragón, Gobierno de Aragón, Zaragoza, Spain

⁵Key Laboratory of Vegetation Ecology, Ministry of Education, Institute of Grassland Science, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, China

⁶Department of Botany, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming

⁷Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, New South Wales, Australia

⁸Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Toledo, Spain

Correspondence

Víctor Resco de Dios,, School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China.

Email: vic@pvcf.udl.cat

Funding information

Generalitat de Catalunya, Grant/Award Number: SGR2017-1518; Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria, Spain, Grant/Award Number: RTA2015-00054-C02-01; Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Grant/Award Number: AGL2015-69151-R; Natural National Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 31850410483; the talent funds of Southwest University of Science and Technology, Grant/ Award Number: 18ZX7131

Abstract

Resprouting is an ancestral trait in angiosperms that confers resilience after perturbations. As climate change increases stress, resprouting vigor is declining in many forest regions, but the underlying mechanism is poorly understood. Resprouting in woody plants is thought to be primarily limited by the availability of non-structural carbohydrate reserves (NSC), but hydraulic limitations could also be important. We conducted a multifactorial experiment with two levels of light (ambient, 2-3% of ambient) and three levels of water stress (0, 50 and 80 percent losses of hydraulic conductivity, PLC) on two Mediterranean oaks (Quercus ilex and Q. faginea) under a rain-out shelter (n = 360). The proportion of resprouting individuals after canopy clipping declined markedly as PLC increased for both species. NSC concentrations affected the response of Q. ilex, the species with higher leaf construction costs, and its effect depended on the PLC. The growth of resprouting individuals was largely dependent on photosynthetic rates for both species, while stored NSC availability and hydraulic limitations played minor and non-significant roles, respectively. Contrary to conventional wisdom, our results indicate that resprouting in oaks may be primarily driven by complex interactions between hydraulics and carbon sources, whereas stored NSC play a significant but secondary role.

KEYWORDS

construction costs, fire, growth, hydraulics, non-structural carbohydrate concentrations, perturbation, photosynthesis, resilience, resprouting vigor, survival

MILEY¹⁹⁴⁵

1 | INTRODUCTION

Understanding the effects of climatic changes in land cover during this 21st century is becoming a major research effort globally (IPCC, 2019). Associated with rapid climate change, a drying atmosphere and more variable precipitation patterns are enhancing water scarcity in many areas of the world. Moreover, as forested ecosystems become drier, the prevalence of mega-fires has accelerated, burning unprecedented fractions of forested ecosystems (Boer, Resco de Dios, & Bradstock, 2020; Nolan et al., 2020). In combination with other biotic and abiotic stresses, large-scale replacements of forests by shrublands or grasslands may become more common (Karavani et al., 2018).

The capacity for resprouting, particularly widespread across angiosperm trees, is a major mechanism providing post-fire and postdrought resilience in Mediterranean ecosystems and other parts of the world (Sánchez-Pinillos, Coll, De Cáceres, & Ameztegui, 2016). However, the capacity for resprouting appears to have limits and is diminishing (Karavani et al., 2018; Resco de Dios, 2020), notably with increased drought severity or fire recurrence (Batllori et al., 2018; Collins, 2019; Fairman, Bennett, & Nitschke, 2019; Pratt et al., 2014).

The mechanisms underlying reductions in the capacity to resprout are still relatively unknown. The classic paradigm is that the availability of stored carbohydrate reserves is the major driver of resprouting (Bowen & Pate, 1993; Pate, Froend, Bowen, Hansen, & Kuo, 1990) and that resprouting exhaustion would be more likely if carbohydrate reserves are reduced, particularly in plants growing under deep shade or that experience protracted drought. There is some debate regarding the impact of protracted drought on carbohydrate reserves because declines in sink activity under drought may preclude the decline of source photo-assimilates (Korner, 2003; Palacio, Hoch, Sala, Körner, & Millard, 2014).

The role of carbohydrate reserves and plant function has been the topic of considerable research (Dietze et al., 2014). Non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) account for, on average, 10% of dry plant biomass (Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2016). Despite the significant investment into NSC, plant growth is seldom limited by carbon, even under extreme droughts, and NSC depletion is a rare phenomenon in angiosperm trees (Adams et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2013; Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2016; Mitchell et al., 2013). Some authors have proposed that the major function of carbohydrate storage is to serve as a bethedging strategy that promotes survival after a perturbation that removes a large part of the aboveground biomass (Wiley & Helliker, 2012).

However, other authors consider that hydraulic limitations developed during drought, either in stems or roots, depending on resprouting type, may impose a higher limitation to post-fire resprouting (Cruz, Pérez, & Moreno, 2003; Karavani et al., 2018). Post-fire resprouting requires new growth, which cannot occur if the capacity for transporting water to meristems is impaired. Additional factors, such as nutrients or bud scarcity, may also limit resprouting (Clarke et al., 2013; Karavani et al., 2018), but the interaction between hydraulics and C starvation (i.e., lack of carbohydrates to support plant function) as drivers of post-fire resprouting is particularly important to resolve under climate change. The frequency of drought-induced defoliation and mortality events are increasing globally (Allen, Breshears, & McDowell, 2015; Carnicer et al., 2011). Subsequently, fire following a protracted drought could catalyze the conversion of forests to shrublands or grasslands through interactive, but largely unknown, effects on plant hydraulics and carbon balance (Karavani et al., 2018; Parra & Moreno, 2018).

Numerous studies have examined the interactive effects of hydraulics and carbohydrate reserve depletion on drought-induced mortality (Adams et al., 2017), and some studies have addressed fire impacts on xylem vulnerability to embolism in surviving branches (Bar, Michaletz, & Mayr, 2019; Hood, Varner, van Mantgem, & Cansler, 2018; Kavanagh, Dickinson, & Bova, 2010; Midgley, Kruger, & Skelton, 2011). However, studies jointly addressing how increasingly larger losses in hydraulic conductance, in addition to increasing levels of carbohydrate reserve depletion, may subsequently limit resprouting are more scarce.

Here, we report the results of an experiment that sought to disentangle the mechanisms affecting resprouting in potted oak seedlings experimentally exposed to three levels of water availability and two levels of light. Treatments were jointly imposed to generate a range in both stored NSC concentrations and losses of hydraulic conductivity.

The oak species (*Quercus ilex* L. and *Quercus faginea* Lam.) in this study are the dominant oaks in the drier parts of the oak expansion range in the Western Mediterranean basin. Regeneration of Mediterranean oaks heavily depends on resprouting because of high seed predation (Herrera, 1995). Understanding the limits to resprouting in these two species is thus important to improve estimates on potential species replacements under climate change. Furthermore, these species show contrasting life history strategies (Palacio et al., 2018): *Q. ilex* is sclerophyllous and evergreen, whereas *Q. faginea* is deciduous and exhibits hydraulic segmentation (Peguero-Pina et al., 2015).

Overall, we sought to elucidate whether: (a) resprouting capacity (percent individuals resprouting) was controlled by hydraulic limitations, NSC limitations (that is, the depletion of NSC reserves) or their interaction; and (b) the growth of the resprouts exhibited a legacy effect due to previous impacts on hydraulic limitations, reserve availability or concurrent photosynthesis limitations.

We hypothesized that hydraulic limitations would control the percentage of plants that resprout, but subsequent growth of the resprouts would be dependent on NSC content and mobilization to grow the resprouting tissues. Because *Q. ilex* is more scleromorphic than *Q. faginea*, and leaf construction costs (in terms of g glucose g^{-1} dry matter) increase with schlerophylly, we expected that resprouting growth would be more dependent on NSC in *Q. ilex* than in *Q. faginea*. Finally, we hypothesized that imposed reductions of light and water availability would decrease concurrent photosynthesis as a source of *C*, which would additionally limit resprouting. However, we expected that this photosynthesis effect would be minor relative to that of sink (stored NSC) limitations.

WILEY Plant, Cell &

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study site

The experiment was performed at the experimental field site at the School of Agrifood and Forestry Science and Engineering at the University of Lleida (Spain; 41.62 N, 0.59 E). We constructed a rain-out shelter that was covered by clear polyethylene plastic, as commonly used in greenhouse building. Half of the structure received natural solar radiation, and the other half was covered by dense shade cloth. Plants under ambient light (i.e., under the polyethylene plastic) received a maximum PPFD of 1,500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and plants under the shade cloth received 2–3% (35–45 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) of full sun. The structure had openings on both sides to increase ventilation and minimize heat build-up. The temperature in the rain-out shelter was 3°C higher than outside the shelter under ambient light, and 2.5°C higher in the shade treatment (Figure S1). The difference in vapour pressure deficit across sun and shade treatments was 0.1 kPa (Figure S1).

For this study, we obtained 2-year-old seedlings from local nurseries. The provenances originated from the mountain range of the Iberian System. Plants were grown in 11 L pots ($20 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm} \times$ 27.5 cm). The rooting medium used was the commercial potting mix Humin Substrat N6 (Neihaus, Klasman-Deilmann GmbH, Geeste, Germany). Pots were regularly fertilized with a slow release NPK MgO fertilizer (17-09-11-2, Osmocote Universal, KB, Ecully, France) and daily watered to field capacity until treatment implementation.

The plants grew for 6 months (since February 2017) in the rainout shelter before experiment inception. They developed new leaves under the experimental light conditions, but there may have been legacy effects from the previous growing seasons in the nursery, which could not be quantified. The experiment began in the northern hemisphere summer (July) of 2017 and lasted for 2 years. We induced resprouting by removing the canopy by clipping above the root collar in July 2017, and then again in July 2018 (twice in total; details below).

2.2 | Experimental treatments

We induced depletion of carbohydrate reserves and water stress by implementing two different light regimes: sun (ambient) and shade $(35-45 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1})$ in concert with three different levels of water stress. Light manipulations may alter additional aspects of plant physiology and morphology, but our experimental design was to mimic a comparison between seedlings growing in the understory of a forest or in an open woodland under different levels of water stress.

The three different watering treatments were set to achieve different values of percentage loss of conductivity (PLC); 0, 50 and 80% PLC (P₀, P₅₀ and P₈₀, respectively), which represent different critical water stress thresholds and the potential for recovery in angiosperms (Resco et al., 2009; Urli et al., 2013). P₅₀ represents moderate water stress in angiosperms and P₈₀ represents severe stress, and potential mortality. During treatment implementation, we stopped watering and allowed plants to dehydrate and we measured midday stem water potential (Ψ_{md}) every other day in a subset of plants (n = 5). Stem water potential was measured after introducing the cut stem in zip lock bag, and allowing it to equilibrate for 30 min in the dark at room temperature (PMS1000, PMS, Albany, OR). We then calculated the expected PLC based on Ψ_{md} from previously published vulnerability curves for our species and provenances (Esteso-Martínez, Camarero, & Gil-Pelegrín, 2006; Peguero-Pina et al., 2014). Once plants reached the target PLC, we kept soil moisture constant at that level for 2 weeks. This was achieved by weighing a subset of pots (n = 5 per each treatment) and adding back the water that had evaporated every day. After this 2 weeks period, we conducted the relevant measurements (PLC, Ψ_{md} , photosynthesis) and sampling protocols (for biomass, leaf area, NSC and construction costs) and then induced resprouting. We could not always reach our target levels, but our approach allowed us to establish a gradient of PLCs which was the major goal (see Table 1, Table S1, Figure S2). We repeated the same measurements over the 2 years of the experiment.

Sample size for this experiment was 360 plants: 30 replicates \times 2 species \times 3 water treatments \times 2 light levels. Although all plants were inside the same shelter, we rotated them every fortnight to minimize the impact of microclimatic differences within the shelter on our experimental plants. We measured the basal diameter and height of all plants at the beginning of the experiment. We used a random number generator to assign plants to treatments. After assigning each plant to a treatment, we ensured there were no significant a priori differences in plant size across treatments (ANOVA, p > .05).

2.3 | Resprouting

Plants were clipped above the root collar and the pots were then kept at constant weight (to maintain a constant water level) for two more weeks after clipping and, at that point, all pots were regularly watered to field capacity until the next growing season, when the experiment was repeated. That is, we clipped (and sampled) all the 360 plants twice: once in 2017 and then again in 2018. The only exceptions were: (a) the plants that died during the experiment as a result of our treatments (i.e., a plant that did not resprout in 2017 was not treated again in 2018); and (b) the plants that were destructively harvested for NSC and construction costs analyses, as detailed below.

We monitored resprouting starting after the first re-watering, 2 weeks after clipping, by counting the number of resprouting individuals daily for the first 2 weeks, every 2 days for the next 2 weeks, and weekly for the next 7 months (no resprouting occurred before rewatering). We quantified resprouting growth by measuring, 9 months after clipping, the total length of the re-growing shoots (i.e., the sum of the length of all the shoots within a plant), the basal diameter (above the point of clipping) and the volume (calculated from length and diameter assuming the branches formed a cylinder). Throughout the manuscript, resprouting capacity refers to the percentage of individuals that resprouted and resprouting shoots. There was mortality during the experiment as a result of treatment implementation.

1947

TABLE 1 Target midday water potential (Ψ_{md}) to reach the desired PLC according to Esteso-Martínez et al. (2006) for *Q. faginea* and to Peguero-Pina et al. (2014) for *Q. ilex*, and actual values

Species	Light treatment	Water treatment	Target Ψ_{md} (MPa)	Target PLC (%)	Actual Ψ_{md} (MPa)	Actual PLC (%)
Q. ilex	Sun	Po	>_4	<20	-1.3 (0.20)	11.9 (2.3) ^c
		P ₅₀	-6	50	-5.4 (0.54)	64.7 (6.87) ^a
		P ₈₀	-8.9	80	-6.4 (0.62)	69.1 (7.56) ^{ab}
	Shade	Po	>_4	<20	-0.8 (0.14)	12.3 (2.84) ^c
		P ₅₀	-6	50	-2.9 (0.77)	41.2 (10.9) ^{abc}
		P ₈₀	-8.9	80	-4.4 (0.56)	54.3 (10.69) ^{ab}
Q. faginea	Sun	Po	>-2.8	<20	-1.1 (0.22)	15.6 (2.73) ^c
		P ₅₀	-3.9	50	-3.9 (0.28)	53.2 (8.04) ^{ab}
		P ₈₀	-5.5	80	-4.2 (0.30)	66.1 (9.89) ^a
	Shade	Po	>-2.8	<20	-0.7 (0.12)	7.5 (1.35) ^c
		P ₅₀	-3.9	50	-1.1 (0.21)	27.9 (9.86) ^{bc}
		P ₈₀	-5.5	80	-2.6 (0.51)	39.8 (13.0) ^{abc}

Note: Mean (and SE) actual values are presented. The letters in 'Actual PLC' indicate the results of post-hoc analyses (Tukey HSD).

The percentage of resprouting individuals was thus calculated relative to the total number of individuals alive (i.e., with stem and green leaves) per treatment before clipping on a given year.

2.4 | PLC measurements

We tested whether the watering treatments had reached the target PLC levels by measuring native PLC in five plants per treatment. We measured PLC in both experimental years, and always immediately before the clipping. That is, we first measured PLC, then we induced clipping and monitored resprouting twice throughout the experiment (once in each year). We used stem PLC as a proxy of root PLC, an assumption that will be addressed in the discussion.

We measured hydraulic conductivity in stems (50 mm long) before and after removing the air embolisms, as in Sperry, Donnelly, and Tyree (1988). We cut the entire shoot, from the root collar, under water in the field. The stem was wrapped in wet paper towels inside zip lock bags in a 4°C cooler and transported to the laboratory. The measured stems were then recut under water once in the laboratory. The procedure used for this study was the same that is detailed in Peguero-Pina, Mendoza-Herrer, Gil-Pelegrín, and Sancho-Knapik (2018). Briefly, we perfused distilled, degassed and filtered water (0.22 mm) with 0.005% (v/v) Micropur (Katadyn Products, Wallisellen, Switzerland) to prevent microbial growth. The hydraulic conductivity was measured at a pressure of 0.005 MPa and air embolism was removed at a pressure of 0.15 MPa for 60–90 s. The water flow was measured with a digital mass flowmeter (Liqui-Flow, Bronkhorst High-Tech, Ruurlo, The Netherlands) connected to a computer.

2.5 | Leaf gas exchange measurements

We measured leaf gas exchange with a portable photosynthesis system (LI-6400XT, Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE) during the last round of growth measurements on five plants per treatment. Measurements were conducted between 10:00 hr and 14:00 hr local time, to minimize circadian effects and afternoon stomatal closure. We measured each plant under the two treatment levels of PPFD: 1,500 (full sun) and 40 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (shaded) but here we only report values under growth (treatment) conditions. The other conditions in the chamber were set to 25.0°C, 400 ppm, and 1.5 kPa for temperature, CO₂ and vapour pressure deficit, respectively.

2.6 | Biomass, leaf area and NSC analyses

Immediately prior to clipping, we randomly selected five individuals per treatment for destructive measurements. Fresh leaves were first scanned and leaf area and number were calculated with ImageJ for all the leaves in each individual (Schneider, Rasband, & Eliceiri, 2012). Samples were microwaved quickly after harvest for 30 s at 700 W, to prevent enzymatic reactions. We then calculated dry biomass by harvesting and weighing the different plant parts (roots, stem, old leaves and new leaves) after drying (48 hr at 105°C) and calculated leaf mass area (LMA). Roots were manually extracted and washed from the potting mix, carefully to minimize losses of root biomass.

We extracted soluble sugars and starch in roots following Palacio, Maestro, and Montserrat-Marti (2007). After grinding the dried biomass with a mill (IKA A10, IKA-Werke, Staufen, Denmark), soluble sugars (sugar from now on) and starch were extracted separately. For sugar, we first added 10 ml of ethanol (80% v/v) to 50 mg of sample and waited for 30 min at 60°C in a water bath. After this time, we centrifuged (NEYA 8, REMI ELEKTROTECHNIK LTD., Vasai, India) the sample for 10 min at 3,200 rpm. We then added 50 μ l of supernate, 450 μ l of ethanol (80%), 500 μ l of phenol (28%) and 2,500 μ l of sulphuric acid (96%), mixed it and let it sit for 30 min. The third step was to read the absorbance at 490 nm with the spectrophotometer (Spectrophotometer UV-1600PC, VWR, Radnor, PA) after removing the supernatant and drying the sample at 70° C for 16 hr.

To measure starch content, we added 4 ml of sodium acetate (pH 4.5) to the dry sample and left it for 60 min in a 60°C water bath. We then left it to cool and added 1 ml of Amyloglucosidase (0.5% w/v), which was then incubated in the stove (16 hr at 50°C). After the incubation, we added 50 μ l of supernatant to the sample, 450 μ l of sodium acetate (pH 4.5), 500 μ l of phenol (28%) and 2,500 μ l of sulphuric acid (96%). We then mixed it and let it sit for 30 min, we measured again the absorbance at 490 nm with the spectrophotometer. NSC was calculated as the sum of starch and sugars. Unless otherwise noted, NSC refers to the concentration of stored NSCs in the roots.

2.7 | Construction cost analyses

WILFY_

We estimated the leaf construction costs following previously developed methods (Nagel et al., 2002; Poorter, 1994; Vertregt & Penning de Vries, 1987). We collected leaves from five more individuals per treatment (different to those used in NSC) and we measured ash content after exposing the sample to 400°C for 6 hr (Nagel et al., 2002). We analysed organic carbon and nitrogen contents as in Aspinwall et al. (2017) with a combustion elemental analyser (TruSpec Micro, Leco, St Joseph, MI). Construction costs (CC) were then calculated using the formula from Poorter (1994) as:

$$CC = (-1.041 + 5.077 C) (1 - M) + (5.325 N)$$
(1)

where M, C and N indicate ash content and organic carbon and nitrogen concentrations, respectively.

2.8 | Data analyses

We first tested whether our implementation of the water treatments had been successful with linear mixed-effects models (LMM), using Ψ_{md} and PLC at the time of inducing resprouting as dependent variables; with light and water treatments as well as species and their interactions as independent variables; and year as a random factor.

We tested for differences in percent resprouting with a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM), using a binomial distribution, where species, light, water treatments and their interactions were fixed factors and year was a random factor. Differences in NSC, construction costs, net assimilation (A_{net}) and growth were analysed with LMM, where species, light, water treatments and their interactions were fixed factors and year was a random factor.

We sought to understand whether resprouting capacity and growth were correlated using GLMM with a binomial distribution, where year was the random factor. In order to understand whether resprouting capacity was limited by hydraulics or by reserves, we used LMM where PLC and NSC and their interactions were the explanatory variables and year was included as a random factor. In order to understand whether growth was driven by hydraulics, NSC reserves or photosynthesis, we additionally used LMM with PLC, NSC and A_{net} and their interactions as fixed factors and year as a random factor.

All statistical analyses were implemented in the R software environment (version 3.5.2; R Development Core Team, 2018) using the 'Ime4' package for GLMM and LMM (Bates, Maechler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015), the 'car' package for Wald tests in GLMM and LMM (Fox & Weisberg, 2019). Additionally, we performed model selection in all of the above cases using automated dredging procedures (Bartoń, 2018). We also calculated the marginal and conditional R^2 (R^2_m and R^2_c), which refer to the fixed or to the random and fixed components in mixed models, respectively, following Nakagawa and Schielzeth (2013).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Water treatment implementation

Our water treatments were successful in that we managed to create different levels of water stress and, importantly, there were no significant differences across species (Table 1, Table S1, Figure S1) or across years. However, we could not always reach the desired PLC levels for the following reasons. First, our seedlings were more vulnerable to cavitation than previously published values for the same species (Esteso-Martínez et al., 2006; Peguero-Pina et al., 2014). The value of PLC for a given value of Ψ_{md} was higher in our seedlings than in published values. Since we measured Ψ_{md} during our experiment to infer PLC from the published literature, this generated a higher experimental PLC under the P₅₀_sun treatment (between 65 and 68%) than our target value for the oaks (Table 1, Table S1, Figure S1). The second issue was that our species were very resistant to cavitation and we could not reach the water potentials necessary to induce PLC over 80%, even after leaving the plants without water for 2 months. Consequently, measured PLC values under the P80_sun treatment (between 69 and 72%) were lower than expected (Table 1, Table S1, Figure S1). The third issue was that evapotranspiration was low in the shade treatment; consequently, PLC was consistently lower than in the full sun treatment. Indeed, PLC ranged between 28 and 41% in the P₅₀_shade treatment, and between 40 and 54% in the P₈₀_shade treatment (Table 1, Table S1, Figure S2) in both species. Given this problem P₅₀_shade and P₈₀_shade in the second experimental year were merged under a single treatment (P_{50} -shade).

3.2 | Resprouting capacity

Resprouting capacity was significantly affected by our experimental treatments. There were differences across species and water levels, and there were also significant interactions between light and water treatments and between species and light treatments (Table 2, Figure 1).

Taken in isolation, water scarcity had a more important effect on resprouting than light availability: the effect of water was significant (p < .0001), but light was not significant (p > .05). Indeed, mean

P.

TABLE 2 Results of a fitting a generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) on resprouting capacity, a linear mixed-effects model (LMM) on length, diameter, volume, net assimilation (A_{net}) and non-structural carbohydrate concentrations (NSC), and an ANOVA on leaf construction costs on a mass (CC) and an area (CC_area) basis

	Resprouting capacity	Length	Diameter	Volume	A _{net}	NSC	сс	CC_area
Species	26.6***				3.1.	9.58**	46.3***	1,299***
Light		157***	155***	154.9***	108***	50.1***		165.1***
Water	86***	67.7***	43.8***	43.9***	91.7***			699.5***
$Light \times water$	64.4***	34.2***	52.9***	52.9***	88.7***	0.01**		206.6***
Species \times light	67.4***	7.8**			3.2•			67.7***
Species \times water							24.7***	
Species × water × light						38.6***		

Note: The explanatory variables were in all cases species, light, water and their two- and three-way interactions included. We applied dredging techniques for automatic model selection and only the variables selected are included. Year was included as a random factor in GLMM and LMM models. ANOVA was performed for CC and CC_area because only data for 2018 was available. Values indicate either the χ^2 (GLMM and LMM) or the *F*-values (ANOVA) and stars indicate the significance level. Significance levels: **<.001; *< .05; < .1.

FIGURE 1 Changes in resprouting capacity as a function of species, water and light levels (a) and significant interactions (b, c) The species are *Quercus ilex* (Qi) and *Q. faginea* (Qf). The water levels refer to target levels of PLC (P_0 , P_{50} or P_{80} , but see Table 1 for actual values). Sun or shade indicated whether the plants received either ambient, or 2–3% of ambient radiation, respectively. Values indicate mean and standard error



resprouting was 68% in the shade and 63% the sun (Figure 1a,b), but resprouting significantly declined with water stress from 88% in P₀, to 57% in P₅₀ and to 47% in P₈₀ (Figure 1a,c). There was however a

significant interaction between the light and water treatments (Figure 1c). Resprouting in the sun treatment declined from 97% at P_{0} , to 62% at P_{50} and to 31% at P_{80} (Figure 1c). However, the effect

of drought was highly variable in the shade treatment as resprouting varied from 80% in P_{0} , to 51% in P_{50} and to 79% in P_{80} (Figure 1c).

Regarding the species, *Q. faginea* showed significantly higher (Table 2, Figure 1a,b) resprouting (77%) than *Q. ilex* (54%). However, species effects depended on the interactions with light (Figure 1b). Resprouting in *Q. faginea* was favoured by the shade, as resprouting increased from 61% in the sun to 95% in the shade (Figure 1b). However, shade exerted a negative effect on resprouting in *Q. ilex*, which declined from 65% in the sun to 45% in the shade (Figure 1b).

3.3 | Resprouting growth

Resprouting growth was affected by light, water, and their interaction and, in the case of total shoot length, treatment effects depended on the species (Table 2, Figure S3, Figure 2). Total shoot length, basal diameter and shoot volume were always higher in the sun than in the shade treatments (Figure 2, Figure S3). Total length was higher for *Q. ilex* in the shade, but differences across species vanished at high light (Figure 2a). Total resprouting length in the sun declined from 61 cm to 30 cm to 26 cm as water stress increased from P₀ to P₅₀ to P₈₀, respectively (Figure 2b). Total resprout length in the shade showed a less marked declined from 21 cm to 14 cm to 15 cm as water stress increased from P₀ to P₅₀ to P₈₀, respectively (Figure 2b). A similar pattern was apparent for basal diameter, which decreased in the sun from 2.9 mm at P₀ to 2.5 mm at P₅₀ and to 2.2 mm in P₈₀ (Figure 2c). In the shade, however, diameter was higher in P₈₀ (1.85 mm) than in P_0 (1.4) or P_{50} (1.5) (Figure 2c). Differences in volume followed the same trend as those in length (Figure 2d).

3.4 | Coordination between resprouting capacity and resprouting growth

We observed that the patterns of correlation between resprouting capacity and growth were highly contrasting across species (Figure 3). On the one hand, percent resprouting in *Q. ilex* correlated positively with total length (p < .0001, $R^2_m = 0.28$) basal diameter (p < .0001, $R^2_m = 0.32$). On the other hand, the correlation between percent resprouting and growth in *Q. faginea* was not significant for length (p = .15) and volume (p = .5), and negative in the case of diameter (p < .0001), although the power of the negative correlation was very low ($R^2_m = 0.38$).

3.5 | Photosynthesis, carbohydrates and construction costs

Photosynthetic rates measured at ambient light varied across species marginally (p < .1), across light, water and their interaction significantly (p < .05) and across the interaction between light and species marginally (p < .1; Table 2, Figure S4). In particular, A_{net} in P_{0} -sun treatment for *Q. faginea* (13 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was significantly higher than in the



FIGURE 2 Changes in total length (a, b), basal diameter (c) and volume (d) across species, light, water treatments and their interactions. Only significant interactions are included. The water levels refer to target levels of PLC (P_0 , P_{50} or P_{80} , but see Table 1 for actual values). Sun or shade indicated whether the plants received either ambient, or 2–3% of ambient radiation, respectively. Values indicate mean and standard error. PLC, percentage loss of conductivity



FIGURE 3 Correlations between % resprouting and the three growth variables (total length, basal diameter and volume) in *Q. ilex* (a, c, e) and *Q. faginea* (b, d, f). Best-fit lines indicate the results of simple regression rather than the full model and they are included only for visualization purposes. Dashed lines indicate that the full model was not significant. Each point is a treatment mean

 $P_{0_}$ sun treatment for Q. *ilex* (9.5 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Average A_{net} in all other treatments ranged between -0.7 and 2.8 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ but they were not statistically significant, nor was year.

The concentration of root NSC ranged between 7.6 and 27% in *Q. faginea* and between 8 and 22% in *Q. ilex*. Consequently, the minimum value of root NSC concentration reached across species was not different (7.6% vs. 8.0%) indicating that we reached similar levels of reserve depletion across species. However, *Q. faginea* showed a significantly higher capacity for accumulating NSC on average (18.5% in *Q. faginea* vs, 14.2% in *Q. ilex*; Table 2, Figure S5a).

There were also significant differences in NSC across light treatments (Table 2), with higher concentrations in the sun (20%) relative to the shade (13%) treatment (Figure S5a,b). However, the effect of light depended on its interaction with the water treatment (Table 2, Figure S5b). NSC in the shade was highest at the P_{80} treatment (15%) and lower at the P_{50} (10%) and P_0 (12%) treatments, while in the sun treatments, NSC was lower in the P_{80} treatment (18%) compared to the P_{50} (19%) and P_0 (22%) treatments. NSC concentrations reflected storage capacity as starch accounted for 71% of the total NSC pool across species. Importantly, year was not significant in the model.

We estimated construction costs on a mass and leaf area basis. On a mass basis, the construction cost of a *Q. faginea* leaf were significantly lower (1.28 g glucose g⁻¹ dry matter) than for a *Q. ilex* leaf (1.44 g glucose g⁻¹ dry matter; Table 2, Figure S6), indicating that leaf construction costs for *Q. faginea* were 11% lower than for *Q. ilex*. However, the LMA of *Q. faginea* (8.8 g cm⁻²) was 39% lower than *Q. ilex* (14.3 g cm⁻²). Consequently, the construction costs of *Q. faginea* on a leaf area basis (0.011 g cm⁻²) were 45% lower than for *Q. ilex* (0.020 g cm⁻²; Figure S6a,b). On a leaf area basis, construction costs were also affected by light, water and their interactions with species (Table 2, Figure S6c–e). Leaf construction costs on an area basis were higher in the sun than in the shade (Figure S6c), and they also increased with drought, particularly in P₈₀ (Figure S6d). The interaction between light and water meant that costs in P₈₀ were constant regardless of light level (Figure S6e).

3.6 | Factors affecting resprouting capacity

We examined the correlation between resprouting capacity and PLC and NSC and we observed different patterns across species (Table 3, Figure 4). Higher PLC had a negative effect on resprouting, which was significant in *Q. faginea* and *Q. ilex* (p < .001, Table 3). We can examine the point where 50% resprouting occurs, as an analogy with epidemiological studies, which use LD₅₀ as an indicator of the median lethal dose (or as an analogy with, P₅₀ broadly used in plant hydraulics). In *Q. faginea*, resprouting was below 50% only when water stress was above P₅₀ in all cases (Figure 4b). For *Q. ilex*, resprouting was below 50% only when water stress was above P₅₀ in all cases except for one point (lower left of Figure 4a), indicating that NSC limitations may have played an additional role (Figure 4c).

In *Q. ilex*, there was a significant (p > .001, Table 3, Figure 4c) and positive correlation between NSC and resprouting capacity, and an interaction between PLC and NSC (Table 3). Resprouting in *Q. faginea* was independent of NSC (Table 3). The R^2_m of our statistical models were 0.36 for both species (Table 3).

3.7 | Factors affecting resprouting growth

Photosynthesis was the most important variable regulating growth of resprouts (Table 3, Figure 5). The best model included A_{net} as the only significant parameter for total shoot length in *Q. faginea* (p < .0001; $R_m^2 = 0.43$) and *Q. ilex* (p < .0001; $m^2 = 0.76$) and for volume in *Q. ilex* (p < .0001; $R_m^2 = 0.77$). Photosynthesis alone (p = .001) and, to a minor degree, its interaction with NSC (p = .01) were significant drivers of volume in *Q. faginea* ($R_m^2 = 0.56$). NSC was an important predictor for diameter in *Q. ilex* (p < .0001; $R_m^2 = .46$), but no variable

1951

S-WILFY

	Resprouting capacity	Length	Diameter	Volume	TABLE 3 Effects of PLC and NSC over resprouting capacity and		
Q. ilex	$R_{\rm m}^2 = 0.36$	$R^2_{m} = 0.76$	$R^2_{m} = 0.46$	$R_{\rm m}^2 = 0.77$	additionally of net photosynthesis (A		
PLC	43.2***				over shoot total length, basal diameter		
NSC	29.2***		16.7***		and volume		
$PLC \times NSC$	14.9***						
A _{net}		34.5***		25.9***			
Q. faginea	$R^2_{\rm m} = 0.36$	$R^2_{m} = 0.43$		$R^2_{\rm m} = 0.56$			
PLC	92.8***						
NSC							
A _{net}		19.3***		10.77***			
$A_{net} imes NSC$				6.1*			

Note: Resprouting capacity was fitted with generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) and growth with a linear mixed-effects model (LMM). We applied dredging techniques for automatic model selection and only the variables selected in the best model are included. Values indicate the χ^2 and stars indicate the significance level. Values are also provided for the marginal R^2 (R^2_m) for each model. Significance levels: ***<.001; **<.01; *<.01; *<.01.



FIGURE 4 Effects of NSC and PLC over resprouting capacity in *Q. ilex* (a, b) and *Q. faginea* (c, d). Best-fit lines indicate the results of simple regression rather than the full model and they are included only for visualization purposes. Dashed lines indicate that the full model was not significant. Each point is a treatment mean. NSC, non-structural carbohydrate; PLC, percentage loss of conductivity

was a significant predictor of diameter in *Q. faginea* (p > .05). It is worth noting that PLC did not show a significant correlation with any of the growth parameters (Table 3).

4 | DISCUSSION

We exposed seedlings of two naturally co-occurring oak species to contrasting light and water conditions for 2 years to understand the drivers of resprouting. We observed that hydraulic limitations on the capacity to resprout were present in both species, but that carbohy-drate depletion only affected *Q. ilex*, which was the species with the highest leaf construction costs. Subsequent growth of resprouts was

primarily driven by current photosynthesis, while stored carbon (NSC) played a significant but secondary role. The mechanisms affecting the capacity for resprouting (primarily hydraulics) differed from those affecting the growth of the resprouts (primarily C uptake), although additional differences were observed in two closely related and co-occurring oak species.

4.1 | Factors affecting resprouting capacity

The resprouting literature in woody species has traditionally discussed the role of stored carbohydrates and nutrients as the main drivers of resprouting (Clarke et al., 2013; Pate et al., 1990). Only a handful of



FIGURE 5 Correlates of growth across species. Photosynthesis (A_{net}) correlated with length (a,b) and volume (e,f) in both species . NSC only correlated with diameter in *Q. ilex* (c) and its interaction with A_{net} in volume of *Q. faginea* (d, Table 3). Best-fit lines indicate the result of simple regression, not of the full model, and are included for visualization purposes only. Dotted lines indicate marginal relationships. Each point is a treatment mean. NSC, non-structural carbohydrate

studies have documented water limitations, as indicated by predawn water potential (Cruz et al., 2003), as a potential factor affecting resprouting. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study directly demonstrating that the degree of losses in hydraulic conductance before the perturbation that induces resprouting will limit subsequent resprouting capacity in woody species. Hydraulic limitations were more severe in *Q. faginea* than in *Q. ilex*, even though *Q. faginea* shows a higher degree of hydraulic segmentation (Peguero-Pina et al., 2015), which is often considered advantageous for recovery after water stress is relieved because runaway cavitation is isolated (Tyree & Zimmerman, 2002).

Our results were only partly consistent with the hypothesis that NSC limits resprouting capacity. We observed a correlation between resprouting and NSC only in *Q. ilex*, but the mechanistic reason underlying this relationship remained puzzling after examining differences in leaf construction costs and the amount of available NSC reserves, across species. The lowest NSC concentrations in *Q. ilex* roots were 8.1% at the P_{50} -shade treatment which, multiplied by total root weight, adds up to 0.67 g of NSC. The construction costs of a *Q. ilex* leaf at the P_{50} -shade treatment were 0.01 g glucose cm⁻². These reserves should thus have been enough to build 67 cm² of leaves, which is equivalent to 16 leaves in the P_{50} -shade treatment, and to 37% of total leaf area grown within one season. In addition to building new leaves, basal resprouters also need to rebuild the stem (which has lower construction costs than the leaf, [Poorter, 1994]) and support respiratory costs. However, these numbers indicate that the amount of NSC left would have been enough to resprout and recover canopy photosynthetic capacity.

This apparent contradiction could be partly explained by interactions with hydraulic impairment, which could have limited NSC remobilization (Table 2). *Q. ilex* often showed low resprouting (<50%) when NSC and PLC were low (<10%) and high (>50%), respectively. The opposite pattern was also true, as we observed a number of cases in the shade treatment with high resprouting rates (>50%) despite NSC being below 10% (Figure 4c), and this coincided with PLC being <50% (Figure 4a).

However, and contrary to this hypothesis, PLC was only 20% in the P_{50} -shade treatment for 2018, when resprouting was 0% and NSC was 8.1% (Figure 4a,c). A plausible, but speculative, explanation for this observation is that the root seedlings were disconnected from the soil in the P_{50} -shade treatment. That is, in conditions of low water and shade, the plant may have encountered disruption in the soil-root continuum such that hydraulic constraints occurred, although they could not be picked up by our PLC sampling scheme which focused on main stems. This could also explain why PLC values in the shade were lower than in the sun, also VPD differences across treatments were only minimal. Further studies on the mechanisms addressing resprouting should thus address the disconnection between roots and soils. To our knowledge, this is the first study indicating this could be a possibility affecting resprouting capacity.

Differences between Q. ilex and Q. faginea in resprouting further supports the hypothesis that NSC may only limit resprouting if accompanied by hydraulic impairment. Resprouting in Q. faginea was not limited by NSC reserves, although it reached lower NSC concentrations than Q. ilex, and this could be because low NSC did not co-occur with high PLC in Q. faginea. That is, the lowest NSC concentrations in Q. faginea were 7.6% in the P₅₀ shade treatment, which multiplied by total root weight, equals 0.24 g of NSC. Construction costs were 0.006 g glucose cm⁻², which indicates that reserves were sufficient to build 40 cm² of leaves (or 27% of total leaf area after one growing season). The potential to build new material in Q. faginea was thus lower than in Q. *ilex* (which was of 67 cm^2 of leaves, as stated above) because of the lower NSC content. However, we did not observe NSC limitations in this species, which we attribute to the fact that PLC at low NSC levels was never above 50% in this species. That is, low NSC alone was not enough to induce reserves limitation in Q. faginea. Additional processes, such as bud limitation, could account for some of the explained variation.

1953

-WILEY

4.2 | Factors affecting resprouting growth

We observed that resprouting growth was not driven by the same mechanisms as resprouting capacity. One of the differences was that hydraulic limitations developed before the perturbation did not exert any carry-over effects over the growth of the resprouts. This contrasts with drought responses, where hydraulics have been documented to affect plant growth for some years after the drought (Anderegg et al., 2015; Ogle et al., 2015). This difference is likely driven by the fact that we focused on basal resprouting, where new stem tissue that is free from embolisms is grown. We thus cannot discard a role for hydraulics limiting the growth of epicormic sprouts, particularly for species that maintain their xylem functional for many years. We note that one could have encountered drought legacies if the period between clipping and re-watering had been longer than in this experiment.

Another difference between the drivers of resprouting growth and capacity was that net photosynthesis appeared to correlate best with growth. Photosynthesis was the sole correlate of height in both species and of volume in *Q. ilex*, indicating that source rather than sink limitations control resprouting in these species. This is perhaps not surprising considering the strong reductions in C uptake imposed by both our shading and drought treatments.

The potential role of NSC as a driver of growth was overall weak. NSC correlated with secondary growth in *Q. ilex* and, along with photosynthesis, it affected volume in *Q. faginea*. Such a weak role of NSC may be puzzling, considering the wealth of literature supporting a role of carbohydrates as major drivers of resprouting (Pausas & Keeley, 2014; Zeppel et al., 2015). However, our results are consistent with the drought literature, where overall, NSC concentrations seldom limit plant survival or growth in angiosperms (Adams et al., 2017; Korner, 2003).

Our results indicate that source limitations to growth were the most common under the different stresses, but sink processes also played a role. Following (and simplifying) the model from Huang et al. (2019) and Gessler and Grossiord (2019), under source limitation we should observe that photosynthetic declines lead to a decline in root reserves. This pattern of source limitation is consistent with our results in the shade treatment, where photosynthetic rates and root NSC concentrations were lower than in the Po_sun. Our temporal design does not allow us to determine the precise moment when NSC concentrations declined, but most likely it occurred during the first few months after treatment implementation because we did not observe differences across years at the moment prior to clipping.

Similarly, there were significant declines in NSC concentration as water stress increased in the sun treatments, further indicating source limitations to growth in the sun across drought treatments. However, we observed that NSC concentrations in P_{80} treatments were higher than in P_0 treatments in the shade, a pattern that is consistent with sink limitations. That is, Huang et al. (2019) and Gessler and Grossiord (2019) propose that when sink limitations occur, a decline in root metabolic activity leads to an increase in metabolite accumulation. This pattern was consistent with the results obtained after imposing the double stress of shade and drought, indicating that multiple stress responses and metabolic limitations co-occur.

The evolutionary role of NSC reserves requires further assessment since NSC constituted significant portions of plant dry mass in the roots and yet exhibited a limited role in regulating resprouting capacity and primary growth.

4.3 | Limitations on the use of stem PLC as a proxy for root PLC

We need to acknowledge that a limitation of this study was the use of stem PLC as a proxy for root PLC. Previous studies have indicated how this proxy can be problematic when there is substantial hydraulic segmentation (Johnson et al., 2016) because roots have sometimes (Tyree & Zimmerman, 2002), but not always (Wu et al., 2020), been documented to be more vulnerable to embolism than stems. Although the existence of hydraulic segmentation was formulated long ago (Zimmermann, 1978), it is still unclear how prevalent it is across species (Wu et al., 2020).

For the two species of concern here, we could not find any study addressing whether hydraulic segmentation occurs in seedlings. Previous studies conducted with adult trees (Peguero-Pina et al., 2015), however, observed that leaves of *Q. subpyrenaica* (the hybrid between *Q. faginea* and *Q. pubescens*) withered prematurely as leaf water potentials dropped below -3 MPa, which indicates hydraulic segmentation between leaves and stems. The same study did not find vulnerability segmentation between leaves and stems in *Q. ilex*.

For adult trees of *Q. ilex* Martínez-Vilalta, Prat, Oliveras, & Piñol (2002) documented that roots were more vulnerable to cavitation than stems. The differences in the relationship between applied pressure and PLC across tissues were particularly high as PLC increased above 50%. That is, while there were no statistical differences in the P_{50} of roots and stems, roots became increasingly more vulnerable to cavitation than stems at higher water potentials (Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2002).

We thus cannot discard the possibility that root PLC in the P_{80} sun treatment for *Q*. *ilex* would have been larger than the one measured for stem PLC. A similar response may also have occurred in *Q*. *faginea*, if this species also displays vulnerability segmentation between roots and stems. That is, stem PLC may have underestimated root PLC, and the differences in PLC across organs would have been more accentuated at higher PLCs. If this is the case, we can speculate that the slope of the relationship between PLC and resprouting capacity would have been steeper than reported here (Figure 4, Table 3).

We must acknowledge also the possibility that stem PLC in our species is not indicative of root PLC at all. Under this scenario, our results would indicate that stem PLC before clipping, and not root PLC, controls basal resprouting after clipping. However, there is no known mechanism to explain this relationship and we thus consider it unlikely.

4.4 | Quercus resilience

Overall, our results indicated that oak seedlings were more resilient to clipping in the shade than in the sun. That is, light and water limitations (shade and drought) induced a higher level of resprouting than water limitations (drought) under no light limitation (sun). However, there were differences in PLC across treatments, which were substantially higher in the sun than in the shade. Indeed, comparisons on drought responses across different light treatments were affected by the different severities of drought. It should thus be noted that in the field, shady sites may sometimes be drier than sunny sites because of increased plant-plant competition for water (Valladares, Laanisto, Niinemets, & Zavala, 2016). Under these circumstances, when shady environments are drier than sunny sites, we would thus expect a lower resprouting capacity in the shade than in the sun.

Previous studies assessing the recovery from drought in angiosperms indicate that lack of recovery may occur when PLC > 80% (Resco et al., 2009; Urli et al., 2013). Our results on this regard are still tentative, and limited as they are based on stem PLC (and not root PLC), but they allow us to hypothesize that resprouting may become particularly limited when PLC is below 50%. Hence, resprouters are more susceptible to stress and disturbance, than to stress alone. It should be noted that we induced resprouting by clipping, to ensure homogeneity in treatments, but fire exerts an additional thermal stress (Casals & Rios, 2018). It is thus feasible that the hypothesized PLC threshold of 50% for limiting resprouting may be lower in burned plants.

It should also be noted that our results are limited to basal resprouting and further studies will need to address potential limitations in epicormic and other types of resprouting. In particular, the role of hydraulic legacies may be more important in epicormic resprouters. There are an increasing number of studies indicating increased intensity of forest fires under global warming, resulting from higher temperatures and drought intensities (Boer et al., 2016; Jolly et al., 2015; Nolan et al., 2020). Our results offer new mechanistic insights and hypotheses to be tested in further experiments that may be used to improve model predictions. We thus hope this study serves as a starting point for more studies on this area to further clarify outstanding issues.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31850410483), the talent funds of Southwest University of Science and Technology (No. 18ZX7131), the MICINN (AGL2015-69151-R), INIA (RTA2015-00054-C02-01) and Generalitat de Catalunya (SGR2017-1518), Spain. We acknowledge technical support from M. J. Pau, M. P. Sopeña, A. Cunill, J. Hedo, B. Lavaquiol and C. Maier. This manuscript benefited from the insightful comments provided by two reviewers and the Associate Editor and from statistical advice by J. G. Alday.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Víctor Resco de Dios conceived the project with input from Carles Arteaga, José Javier Peguero-Pina, Domingo Sancho-Knapik, Wei Sun, David G. Williams, Matthias M. Boer, Jordi Voltas,, José M. Moreno, David T. Tissue and Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín; Carles Arteaga, Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín, José Javier Peguero-Pina and Domingo Sancho-Knapik cultivated the plants; Carles Arteaga, Víctor Resco de Dios, Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín, José Javier Peguero-Pina, Domingo Sancho-Knapik, Obey K. Zveushe, David T. Tissue and Haiyan Qin performed measurements; Carles Arteaga prepared the data files; Víctor Resco de Dios analysed the data and wrote the manuscript and all co-authors contributed to edits to the manuscript.

ORCID

Víctor Resco de Dios [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5721-1656 José Javier Peguero-Pina [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8903-2935 Domingo Sancho-Knapik [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9584-7471 Haiyan Qin [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6745-3248 David G. Williams [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3627-5260 Matthias M. Boer [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6362-4572 Jordi Voltas [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4051-1158 José M. Moreno [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3729-9523 David T. Tissue [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8497-2047 Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4053-6681

REFERENCES

- Adams, H. D., Zeppel, M. J. B., Anderegg, W. R. L., Hartmann, H., Landhäusser, S. M., Tissue, D. T., ... McDowell, N. G. (2017). A multispecies synthesis of physiological mechanisms in drought-induced tree mortality. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1, 1285–1291.
- Allen, C. D., Breshears, D. D., & McDowell, N. G. (2015). On underestimation of global vulnerability to tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. *Ecosphere*, *6*, 129.
- Anderegg, W. R. L., Schwalm, C., Biondi, F., Camarero, J. J., Koch, G., Litvak, M., ... Pacala, S. (2015). Pervasive drought legacies in forest ecosystems and their implications for carbon cycle models. *Science*, 349, 528–532.
- Aspinwall, M. J., Varhammar, A., Blackman, C. J., Tjoelker, M. G., Ahrens, C., Byrne, M., ... Rymer, P. D. (2017). Adaptation and acclimation both influence photosynthetic and respiratory temperature responses in *Corymbia calophylla*. *Tree Physiology*, 37, 1095–1112.
- Bar, A., Michaletz, S. T., & Mayr, S. (2019). Fire effects on tree physiology. The New Phytologist, 223, 1728–1741.
- Bartoń K. (2018) MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R Package Version 1.42.1. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixedeffects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67, 1–48.
- Batllori, E., De Cáceres, M., Brotons, L., Ackerly, D. D., Moritz, M. A., Lloret, F., & Oliveras, I. (2018). Compound fire-drought regimes promote ecosystem transitions in Mediterranean ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology*, 107, 1187–1198.
- Boer, M. M., Bowman, D. M. J. S., Murphy, B. P., Cary, G. J., Cochrane, M. A., Fensham, R. J., ... Bradstock, R. A. (2016). Future changes in climatic water balance determine potential for transformational shifts in Australian fire regimes. *Environtal Research Letters*, 11, 065002.
- Boer, M. M., Resco de Dios, V., & Bradstock, R. A. (2020). Unprecedented burn area of Australian mega forest fires. *Nature Climate Change*, 10, 171–172.

1956 WII FY Plant. Cell

- Bowen, B. J., & Pate, J. S. (1993). The significance of root starch in postfire shoot recovery of the resprouter *Stirlingia latifolia* R. Br. (Proteaceae). *Annals of Botany*, 72, 7–16.
- Carnicer, J., Coll, M., Ninyerola, M., Pons, X., Sánchez, G., & Peñuelas, J. (2011). Widespread crown condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased climate change-type drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 1474–1478.
- Casals, P., & Rios, A. I. (2018). Burning intensity and low light availability reduce resprouting ability and vigor of *Buxus sempervirens* L. after clearing. *Science of the Total Environment*, 627, 403–416.
- Clarke, P. J., Lawes, M. J., Midgley, J. J., Lamont, B. B., Ojeda, F., Burrows, G. E., ... Knox, K. J. E. (2013). Resprouting as a key functional trait: How buds, protection and resources drive persistence after fire. *New Phytologist*, 197, 19–35.
- Collins, L. (2019). Eucalypt forests dominated by epicormic resprouters are resilient to repeated canopy fires. *Journal of Ecology*, 108, 310–324.
- Cruz, A., Pérez, B., & Moreno, J. M. (2003). Plant stored reserves do not drive resprouting of the lignotuberous shrub *Erica australis*. *New Phytologist*, 157, 251–261.
- Dietze, M. C., Sala, A., Carbone, M. S., Czimczik, C. I., Mantooth, J. A., Richardson, A. D., & Vargas, R. (2014). Nonstructural carbon in woody plants. *Annual Reviews in Plant Biology*, 65, 667–687.
- Duan, H., Amthor, J. S., Duursma, R. A., O'Grady, A. P., Choat, B., & Tissue, D. T. (2013). Carbon dynamics of eucalypt seedlings exposed to progressive drought in elevated [CO₂] and elevated temperature. *Tree Physiology*, 33, 779–792.
- Esteso-Martínez, J., Camarero, J. J., & Gil-Pelegrín, E. (2006). Competitive effects of herbs on *Quercus faginea* seedlings inferred from vulnerability curves and spatial-pattern analyses in a Mediterranean stand (Iberian System, Northeast Spain). *Écoscience*, 13, 378–387.
- Fairman, T. A., Bennett, L. T., & Nitschke, C. R. (2019). Short-interval wildfires increase likelihood of resprouting failure in fire-tolerant trees. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 231, 59–65.
- Fox, J., & Weisber, S. (2019). An R Companion to Applied Regression, 3rd Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Gessler, A., & Grossiord, C. (2019). Coordinating supply and demand: Plant carbon allocation strategy ensuring survival in the long run. New Phytologist, 222, 5–7.
- Herrera, J. (1995). Acorn predation and seedling production in a lowdensity population of cork oak (Quercus suber L.). Forest Ecology and Management, 76, 197–201.
- Hood, S. M., Varner, J. M., van Mantgem, P., & Cansler, C. A. (2018). Fire and tree death: Understanding and improving modeling of fire-induced tree mortality. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13, 113004.
- Huang, J., Hammerbacher, A., Weinhold, A., Reichelt, M., Gleixner, G., Behrendt, T., ... Hartmann, H. (2019). Eyes on the future–Evidence for trade-offs between growth, storage and defense in Norway spruce. *New Phytologist*, 222, 144–158.
- IPCC. (2019). Climate Change and Land: An IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems.
- Johnson, D. M., Wortemann, R., McCulloh, K. A., Jordan-Meille, L., Ward, E., Warren, J. M., ... Domec, J. C. (2016). A test of the hydraulic vulnerability segmentation hypothesis in angiosperm and conifer tree species. *Tree Physiology*, 36, 983–993.
- Jolly, W. M., Cochrane, M. A., Freeborn, P. H., Holden, Z. A., Brown, T. J., Williamson, G. J., & Bowman, D. M. J. S. (2015). Climate-induced variations in global wildfire danger from 1979 to 2013. *Nature Communications*, 6, 7537.
- Karavani, A., Boer, M. M., Baudena, M., Colinas, C., Díaz-Sierra, R., Pemán, J., ... Resco de Dios, V. (2018). Fire-induced deforestation in drought-prone Mediterranean forests: Drivers and unknowns from leaves to communities. *Ecological Monographs*, 88, 141–169.

Kavanagh, K. L., Dickinson, M. B., & Bova, A. S. (2010). A way forward for fire-caused tree mortality prediction: Modeling a physiological consequence of fire. *Fire Ecology*, *6*, 80–94.

Korner, C. (2003). Carbon limitation in trees. *Journal of Ecology*, 91, 4–17.

- Martínez-Vilalta, J., Prat, E., Oliveras, I., & Piñol, J. (2002). Xylem hydraulic properties of roots and stems of nine Mediterranean woody species. *Oecologia*, 133, 19–29.
- Martínez-Vilalta, J., Sala, A., Asensio, D., Galiano, L., Hoch, G., Palacio, S., ... Lloret, F. (2016). Dynamics of non-structural carbohydrates in terrestrial plants: A global synthesis. *Ecological Monographs*, 86, 495–516.
- Midgley, J. J., Kruger, L. M., & Skelton, R. (2011). How do fires kill plants? The hydraulic death hypothesis and Cape Proteaceae "fire-resisters". *South African Journal of Botany*, 77, 381–386.
- Mitchell, P. J., O'Grady, A. P., Tissue, D. T., White, D. A., Ottenschlaeger, M. L., & Pinkard, E. A. (2013). Drought response strategies define the relative contributions of hydraulic dysfunction and carbohydrate depletion during tree mortality. *New Phytologist*, 197, 862–872.
- Nagel, J. M., Griffin, K. L., Schuster, W. S., Tissue, D. T., Turnbull, M. H., Brown, K. J., & Whitehead, D. (2002). Energy investment in leaves of red maple and co-occurring oaks within a forested watershed. *Tree Physiology*, 22, 859–867.
- Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2013). A general and simple method for obtaining R² from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 133–142.
- Nolan, R. H., Boer, M. M., Collins, L., Resco de Dios, V., Clarke, H., Jenkins, M., ... Bradstock, R. A. (2020). Causes and consequences of eastern Australia's 2019–20 season of mega-fires. *Global Change Biol*ogy, 26, 1039–1041.
- Ogle, K., Barber, J. J., Barron-Gafford, G. A., Bentley, L. P., Young, J. M., Huxman, T. E., ... Tissue, D. T. (2015). Quantifying ecological memory in plant and ecosystem processes. *Ecology Letters*, *18*, 221–235.
- Palacio, S., Camarero, J. J., Maestro, M., Alla, A. Q., Lahoz, E., & Montserrat-Martí, G. (2018). Are storage and tree growth related? Seasonal nutrient and carbohydrate dynamics in evergreen and deciduous Mediterranean oaks. *Trees*, 32, 777–790.
- Palacio, S., Hoch, G., Sala, A., Körner, C., & Millard, P. (2014). Does carbon storage limit tree growth? *New Phytologist*, 201, 1096–1100.
- Palacio, S., Maestro, M., & Montserrat-Marti, G. (2007). Relationship between shoot-rooting and root-sprouting abilities and the carbohydrate and nitrogen reserves of Mediterranean dwarf shrubs. *Annals of Botany*, 100, 865–874.
- Parra, A., & Moreno, J. M. (2018). Drought differentially affects the postfire dynamics of seeders and resprouters in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Science of the Total Environment*, 626, 1219–1229.
- Pate, J. S., Froend, R. H., Bowen, B. J., Hansen, A., & Kuo, J. (1990). Seedling growth and storage characteristics of seeder and resprouter species of Mediterranean-type ecosystems of S.W. Australia. Annals of Botany, 65, 585–601.
- Pausas, J. G., & Keeley, J. E. (2014). Evolutionary ecology of resprouting and seeding in fire-prone ecosystems. New Phytologist, 204, 55–65.
- Peguero-Pina, J. J., Mendoza-Herrer, O. S., Gil-Pelegrín, E., & Sancho-Knapik, D. (2018). Cavitation limits the recovery of gas exchange after severe drought stress in Holm Oak (*Quercus ilex L*). *Forests*, 9, 443.
- Peguero-Pina, J. J., Sancho-Knapik, D., Barron, E., Camarero, J. J., Vilagrosa, A., & Gil-Pelegrin, E. (2014). Morphological and physiological divergences within *Quercus ilex* support the existence of different ecotypes depending on climatic dryness. *Annals of Botany*, 114, 301–313.
- Peguero-Pina, J. J., Sancho-Knapik, D., Martín, P., Saz, M. Á., Gealzquierdo, G., Cañellas, I., & Gil-Pelegrín, E. (2015). Evidence of vulnerability segmentation in a deciduous Mediterranean oak (*Quercus subpyrenaica* E. H. del Villar). *Trees*, 29, 1917–1927.
- Poorter, H. (1994). Construction costs and payback time of biomass: A whole plant approach. In J. Roy & J. Garnier (Eds.), A whole plant

perspective on carbon-nitrogen interactions (pp. 111–127). Leiden: Backhuys Publishers.

- Pratt, R. B., Jacobsen, A. L., Ramirez, A. R., Helms, A. M., Traugh, C. A., Tobin, M. F., ... Davis, S. D. (2014). Mortality of resprouting chaparral shrubs after a fire and during a record drought: Physiological mechanisms and demographic consequences. *Global Change Biology*, 20, 893–907.
- Resco, V., Ewers, B. E., Sun, W., Huxman, T. E., Weltzin, J. F., & Williams, D. G. (2009). Drought-induced hydraulic limitations constrain leaf gas exchange recovery after precipitation pulses in the C₃ woody legume, *Prosopis velutina*. *New Phytologist*, 181, 672–682.
- Resco de Dios, V. (2020). Plant-fire interactions: Applying ecophysiology to wildfire management. Switzerland: Springer Nature.
- Sánchez-Pinillos, M., Coll, L., De Cáceres, M., & Ameztegui, A. (2016). Assessing the persistence capacity of communities facing natural disturbances on the basis of species response traits. *Ecological Indicators*, 66, 76–85.
- Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9, 671–675.
- Sperry, J. S., Donnelly, J. R., & Tyree, M. T. (1988). A method for measuring hydraulic conductivity and embolism in xylem. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 11, 35–40.
- Tyree, M. T., & Zimmerman, M. H. (2002). Xylem structure and the ascent of sap (2nd ed.). Berlin: Springer.
- Urli, M., Porté, A. J., Cochard, H., Guengant, Y., Burlett, R., & Delzon, S. (2013). Xylem embolism threshold for catastrophic hydraulic failure in angiosperm trees. *Tree Physiology*, 33, 672–683.
- Valladares, F., Laanisto, L., Niinemets, Ü., & Zavala, M. A. (2016). Shedding light on shade: Ecological perspectives of understorey plant life. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*, 9, 237–251.

- Vertregt, N., & Penning de Vries, F. W. T. (1987). A rapid method for determining the efficiency of biosynthesis of plant biomass. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 128, 109–119.
- Wiley, E., & Helliker, B. (2012). A re-evaluation of carbon storage in trees lends greater support for carbon limitation to growth. *New Phytologist*, 195, 285–289.
- Wu, M., Zhang, Y., Oya, T., Marcati, C. R., Pereira, L., & Jansen, S. (2020). Root xylem in three woody angiosperm species is not more vulnerable to embolism than stem xylem. *Plant and Soil*. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11104-020-04525-0.
- Zeppel, M. J. B., Harrison, S. P., Adams, H. D., Kelley, D. I., Li, G., Tissue, D. T., ... McDowell, N. G. (2015). Drought and resprouting plants. New Phytologist, 206, 583–589.
- Zimmermann, M. H. (1978). Hydraulic architecture of some diffuse-porous trees. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 56, 2286–2295.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Resco de Dios V, Arteaga C, Peguero-Pina JJ, et al. Hydraulic and photosynthetic limitations prevail over root non-structural carbohydrate reserves as drivers of resprouting in two Mediterranean oaks. *Plant Cell Environ*. 2020;43:1944–1957. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13781</u>